

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_107364
Title of the Manuscript:	Multivariate Correlation and Path Coefficient Analysis of Various Agro-Physiological Traits in CIMMYT Accessions of Bread Wheat [<i>Triticum aestivum</i> (L.) em. Thell]
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Manuscript is very important for the scientific community in view of the fact that Wheat t is a very important economic crop worldwide but has various biotic and abiotic stresses affecting its yield. Knowledge in characters associated with high yielding varieties is very important as a guide in breeding efforts of this crop.</p> <p>2. The original title of the manuscript is Ok.</p> <p>3. Abstract is good.</p> <p>4. Subsections and structure of the manuscript is appropriate. However, few explanations were required regarding the Rabi season since paper is worldwide; confirmation of experimental design whether RCBD or RBD and description of how the parameters were measured. Some were well described but few of them needed description for repeatability of the study.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct subject to very minor suggestions made.</p> <p>6. The references are quite sufficient.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Language /English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications. However, there were very few construction suggestions made.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The manuscript is generally very good.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Manfred Bondzie Ewool
Department, University & Country	Ghana