

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_108306
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of different sowing time and cultivars on oil content, yield attributes and yield of safflower
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. The peer-reviewed article "Effect of different sowing time and cultivars on oil content, yield attributes and yield of safflower" is interesting and relevant. I have no problem with reviewing this article because I believe the authors have done a good literature search resulting in an excellent study that is powerful enough to add to the existing body of knowledge on the subject. More importantly, it will undoubtedly direct further research in this field to determine optimal sowing dates, increase yield and oiliness of safflower.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is appropriate.</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive.</p> <p>4. The structure of the manuscript is appropriate and contains the necessary sections.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. The list of used literary sources is sufficient and contains new references.</p> <p>7. The article partially presents the results of mathematical and statistical processing of the obtained data. It is necessary to specify which methods and programs were used in this case.</p> <p>8. The article also lacks references to the given tables. Each table should be referenced after its first mention.</p> <p>9. It should be indicated by which method the oil content in safflower was determined.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The language of the article is suitable for scientific communications.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The article presents the results of one-year studies obtained during rabi season 2015-16. How appropriate is it to highlight the results of one-year research, or is it better to conduct research for another 1-2 years, and then draw conclusions. The editors of the journal must decide whether it is correct and permissible to publish the results of one-year studies. In our country, articles are published with the results of two or three years of research, based on which certain conclusions and recommendations can already be made. In my opinion, the article deserves to be published after revision.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Olifir Yurii</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Institute of Agriculture of Carpathian Region, National Academy of Agrarian Sciences, Ukraine</b>