

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_107868
Title of the Manuscript:	Screening of Diesel Oil Degrading Species of Aspergillus for the Production of Different Enzymes Using Agar Plate Assay Method
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The language in the abstract is very poor and it should be scientific and must encompass all the comprehensive details of the manuscript, please study keenly a few Elsevier papers.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	No.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. The language in the abstract is very poor and it should be scientific and must encompass all the comprehensive details of the manuscript, please study keenly a few Elsevier papers.</p> <p>2. What was the sampling volume?</p> <p>3. Any method of sampling, measurement has been done, it must be mentioned the source.</p> <p>4. The effects of other parameters should be investigated. Do you have any data in this field?</p> <p>5. The English is poor and there are a lot of English grammatical errors in the manuscript, these must be corrected.</p> <p>6. The results should be compared with other studies in the form of a table.</p> <p>7. The conclusions should be modified; they should be scientific and contain the advantages of the present study and how it will help in future associated investigations.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hossein Azarpira
Department, University & Country	Saveh University of Medical Sciences, Iran