

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_107744
Title of the Manuscript:	The Chinese-Han population carrying wild-type genotypes of SLCO1B1 388A>G, SLCO1B1 521T>C, CYP3A4 1B, CYP3A4 1G, and CYP3A5*3 exhibits a significant alteration in the pharmacokinetics of atorvastatin calcium
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>This manuscript is original but required summarization in most sections.</p> <p>The title of the article is suitable</p> <p>The abstract of the article comprehensive</p> <p>The conclusion should be written in summary according to the current research finding</p> <p>The criteria of choosing the sample is not clear both group should have same or identical demographic data and have no others chronic diseases .</p> <p>The subsections and the structure of the manuscript required better arrangement and summarizations.</p> <p>The manuscript is correct and accepted scientifically</p> <p>The author didn't write the references in reference list in a correct way ,he used <b>et al</b> which should be avoided in writing the references list</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language is clear and quality of this article is suitable for scholarly communications</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>I highlight some of the paragraph which need to be change in yellow colour and the highlight in red colour should be added in the text</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Fakhria Jaber Muhaibes</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>College of Nursing, Al Mustaqbel University, Iraq</b>