

## **Original Research Article**

### **The Chinese-Han population carrying wild-type genotypes of SLCO1B1 388A>G, SLCO1B1 521T>C, CYP3A4 1B, CYP3A4 1G, and CYP3A5\*3 exhibits a significant alteration in the pharmacokinetics of atorvastatin calcium**

#### **ABSTRACT**

Due to the diverse genetic characteristics of metabolism and high drug plasma exposure, great inter-subject variability exist in the clinical efficacy and incidence of adverse events. This study aimed to evaluate the associations between the SLCO1B1 388A>G (rs2306283) polymorphism and the pharmacokinetics of atorvastatin calcium (AC) in healthy volunteers who carried the wild genotypes of SLCO1B1 521T>C (rs4149056), CYP3A4 1B (rs2740574), CYP3A4 1G (rs2242480), and CYP3A5\*3 (rs776746). A FISH technique was employed to investigate the genetic polymorphisms in 187 healthy male volunteers. The pharmacokinetic study was conducted on a group of healthy male Chinese-Han volunteers with wild-type genotypes of SLCO1B1 521T>C, CYP3A4 1B, CYP3A41G and CYP3A53 genes, consisting of either mutant heterozygotes (n=10) or mutant homozygotes (n=10) of SLCO1B1 388A>G. The results were then compared to the pharmacokinetic parameters of AC in subjects with the wild-type genotype of SLCO1B1 388A>G, as previously described. Based on the distribution of genotypes, the 187 volunteers could be divided into 28 groups. The top 10 groups accounted for nearly 85% of the total volunteers. No significant differences ( $P>0.05$ ) were observed in the pharmacokinetic parameters between subjects carrying homozygous and heterozygous genotypes of SLCO1B1 388A>G. However, The  $C_{max}$  of subjects carrying the wild-type genotype of SLCO1B1 388A>G was about 14.75 times higher than that of the heterozygous genotype group and 10.43 times higher than that of the homozygous genotype group. The AUC<sub>0-72h</sub> of volunteers with the wild-type genotype of SLCO1B1 388A>G was about 13.81 times higher than that of the

heterozygous genotype group and 11.96 times higher than that of the homozygous genotype group. Volunteers carrying wild genotypes of SLCO1B1 388A>G, SLCO1B1 521T>C, CYP3A4 1B, CYP3A4 1G, and CYP3A5\*3 showed significantly higher levels of  $C_{max}$  and AUC ( $P<0.01$ ), as well as markedly decreased values of CL<sub>z</sub>/F and Vz/F ( $P<0.01$ ) of AC. In conclusion, patients carrying the wild genotype of SLCO1B1 388A>G, SLCO1B1 521T>C, CYP3A41G, CYP3A41B, and CYP3A5\*3 should receive a lower dose of AC to minimize the risk of adverse effects.

**Keywords:** genetic polymorphism; SLCO1B1 388A > G; SLCO1B1 521T > C; CYP3A4 1\*B; CYP3A4 1\*G; CYP3A5\*3; pharmacokinetics; atorvastatin calcium

## 1. Introduction

Atorvastatin calcium (AC) is widely used in clinical practice due to its ability to reduce the risk of heart and cerebrovascular events. Similar to other statins, AC works by inhibiting 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-coenzyme A (HMG-CoA) reductase, an essential enzyme in cholesterol biosynthesis. By lowering levels of low-density lipoprotein-bound cholesterol, AC can reduce the risk of atherosclerosis. The efficacy and safety of AC in facilitating the primary and secondary prevention of cardiovascular events have been demonstrated in various clinical trials<sup>[1-3]</sup>. However, due to the diverse genetic characteristics of metabolism and high drug plasma exposure, individual differences exist in clinical efficacy and adverse events, especially statin-induced myopathy<sup>[4,5]</sup>.

Statin-induced myopathy is associated with elevated systemic drug exposure and can be increased by concomitant drugs that impair statin disposition and metabolism<sup>[6,7]</sup>. Other adverse drug reactions (ADRs) such as hepatotoxicity, reversible cognitive impairment, increases in glycosylated hemoglobin, and fasting serum glucose concentrations also impact the continuation of statin therapy<sup>[8]</sup>. AC is typically administered orally at a specific dose ranging from 10 to 80 mg/day. Once ingested, several enzymes and transporters participate in its metabolism and transport in vivo. Until recently, drug-metabolizing enzymes, such as cytochrome P450 enzymes (CYPs), were considered the major determinants of statin disposition<sup>[3,9,10]</sup>. Among these enzymes, those encoded by CYP3A4 and CYP3A5 are particularly important<sup>[11,12]</sup>. Data from in vitro studies have reported that CYP3A4 and CYP3A5 were responsible for 85% and 15%

of atorvastatin metabolism, respectively<sup>[8,13]</sup>. AC is first transformed into its lactone form and subsequently into two pharmacologically active metabolites (2-hydroxy-atorvastatin and 4-hydroxy-atorvastatin) by these enzymes<sup>[3,14]</sup>.

Aside from metabolic enzymes, AC also heavily rely on drug transporter proteins for its disposition and efficacy<sup>[3,6]</sup>. For instance, organic anion-transporting polypeptides and efflux transporter such as OATP1B1, ABCB1, and ABCG2 are thought to play key roles in transporting statins into hepatocytes<sup>[6,8,13,15]</sup>. It has been reported that AC's intrinsic uptake clearance is 1900  $\mu\text{L}/\text{min}/10^6$  cells<sup>[16]</sup>, and the relative contribution of OATP1B1 to overall uptake clearance is more than 52.5%<sup>[17,18]</sup>.

Two common genetic variations in SLCO1B1 (the encoding gene of OATP 1B1), 388A > G (rs2306283) and 521T > C (rs4149056), can interfere with the localization of the transporter to the plasma membrane, leading to higher systemic statin concentrations<sup>[3,6,8,15]</sup>. SLCO1B1 521T > C (rs4149056) has been shown to interfere with the localization of the transporter to the plasma membrane, leading to decreased liver uptake and greater systemic statin concentrations, thereby resulting in increased muscle statin exposure responsible for statin-related myotoxicity<sup>[3,6,8,19]</sup>. On the other hand, the SLCO1B1 388A > G (rs2306283) polymorphism has demonstrated a trend toward lower plasma statin levels in some healthy volunteer studies, although this association is not always observed<sup>[3,20]</sup>. SLCO1B1 genetic polymorphism has been reported as one of the determinants of inter-subject variability in simvastatin, pitavastatin, and rosuvastatin pharmacokinetics<sup>[21-23]</sup>.

There is a significant variability in individual responses to AC administration<sup>[3]</sup>. Studies have indicated that the pharmacokinetics and lipid-lowering effects of AC exhibit notable inter-individual variation, likely influenced by related enzymes and transporters<sup>[3,24,25]</sup>. Therefore, it is necessary to study the effect of the specific gene polymorphism on the clinical pharmacokinetics of atorvastatin calcium while controlling for other related regulatory genes for enzymes and transporters.

The aim of this study was to evaluate whether the human gene polymorphism of SLCO1B1 388A > G (rs2306283) affects the clinical pharmacokinetics of AC following the administration of single doses, taking into account the influence of SLCO1B1 521T > C (rs4149056), CYP3A4 1B (rs2740574), CYP3A41G (rs2242480), and CYP3A5\*3

(rs776746) genes. This evaluation was based on all subjects carrying the wild genotype of SLCO1B1 521T>C, CYP3A4 1B, CYP3A41G, and CYP3A5\*3 genes.

## **2. Materials and methods**

### **2.1 Reagents and chemicals**

Atorvastatin calcium (Lipitor, Pfizer China) was obtained from Beijing Luhe Hospital Affiliated to Capital Medical University. Chemical reference substances of atorvastatin calcium (purity  $\geq 98\%$ ) and rosuvastatin calcium (purity  $\geq 98\%$ ) were purchased from the National Institute for Food and Drug Control (Beijing, China). PHARM-GENE 01 SNP analytical preservation solution (Yaojinbao<sup>®</sup>, Sino-Era Gene Tech Co. Ltd, Beijing, China) and PHARM-GENE 200 SNP analytical sample processing reagent (Yaojinfen<sup>®</sup>, Sino-Era Gene Tech Co. Ltd, Beijing, China) were respectively used as the reagent preservation solution and reagent analysis solution in the gene polymorphism analysis. Acetonitrile and methanol were purchased from Fisher Scientific (Fair Lawn, NJ, USA) as HPLC-grade solvents. Formic acid was purchased from Dikma Reagent Company (Beijing, China) as the HPLC-grade solvent. Triply distilled water was used in sample analysis. All other chemicals, reagents, and solvents used were of analytical grade.

### **2.2 Subjects and Study design**

A total of 210 Chinese-Han male volunteers aged 25-45 years were recruited for this research. The screening of healthy volunteers was accomplished by routine blood biochemical examination, including hepatic-renal function. Gene mutations and polymorphism distribution of CYP3A53, SLCO1B1 521T>C, SLCO1B1 388A>G, CYP3A41G, and CYP3A41B in 187 healthy volunteers were identified using the FISH technique and SPSS software. This pharmacokinetic study was a single-center, randomized, open-label trial designed to evaluate the effects of genetic polymorphisms in SLCO1B1 388A>G on the pharmacokinetics of atorvastatin calcium (AC) in healthy subjects. The study was conducted on healthy male volunteers with SLCO1B1 388A>G genotypes of mutant homozygote (GG, n=10, Group A) and mutant heterozygote (AG, n=10, Group B). To minimize the influence of other related genetic factors, volunteers were required to have wild-type genotypes of SLCO1B1 521T>C, CYP3A4 1B, CYP3A41G, and CYP3A5\*3 genes during the screening process. The obtained results were compared with the pharmacokinetics of AC in subjects with wild

genotypes (AA, Group C) of SLCO1B1 388A>G, SLCO1B1 521T>C, CYP3A4 1B, CYP3A41G, and CYP3A5\*3, as previously described by Xia et al. 2018.

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Beijing Luhe Hospital affiliated to Capital Medical University. All participants provided written informed consent prior to any study-related procedure.

### **2.3 Instrumentation and determination of AC concentrations**

The mass spectrometric data was recorded using an AB SCIEX QTRAP® 6500 mass spectrometer (AB SCIEX, USA), which was equipped with a 1290 Infinity II UHPLC system (Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA, USA). The UHPLC system consisted of a G4220A 1290 binary pump, a G1379A vacuum degasser, a G4226 autosampler, and a G1330B 1290 column oven. Chromatographic separation was achieved using a DikmaLeapsil C18 column (100×2.1mm, 2.7µm). Data acquisition and quantitation were performed using Analyst 1.6.2® software (AB SCIEX, USA). The validated UHPLC-MS/MS method and a suitable protein precipitation method were applied to detect AC plasma concentrations, as previously described<sup>[4]</sup>. The calibration curves of AC were linear in the range of 0.05-50 ng/mL. The LLOQ was 0.05 ng/mL, and intra-day and inter-day precisions were below 11.69%. The accuracy was within the range of 100.40% to 108.03%.

### **2.4 Genotype analysis**

The genetic polymorphisms of SLCO1B1 388A>G, SLCO1B1 521T>C, CYP3A41B, CYP3A41G, and CYP3A5\*3 were analyzed using FISH technology with a gene amplification fluorescence detector (TL998A, Tianlong Science and Technology Co. Ltd, Xi'An, China). PHARM-GENE 01 SNP analytical preservation solution (Yaojinbao®, Sino-Era Gene Tech Co. Ltd, Beijing, China) and PHARM-GENE 200 SNP analytical sample processing reagent (Yaojinfen®, Sino-Era Gene Tech Co. Ltd, Beijing, China) were respectively used as the reagent preservation and analysis solutions in the genetic polymorphism analysis.

The polymorphism of the respective genes was analyzed according to the following procedure:(1) 2 mL venous blood was collected from each volunteer using a disposable vacuum blood collection tube (SST TUBE) containing EDTA anticoagulant. The SST tubes with anticoagulant fresh blood were vortexed for 15 seconds and preserved at

4°C(2) 150 µL anticoagulant fresh blood sample was added to a corresponding 1.5 mL centrifuge tube pre-added with 1 mL working liquid (ammonium chloride). After vortexing the centrifuge tube for 10 seconds, let it stand for 5 min.(3) Centrifugation was performed at room temperature at 3000 rpm/min for 5 min. The upper plasma was absorbed as clean as possible by a pipette (Eppendorf, Germany). 1 mL working fluid was added to the residual, which was enriched with white blood cells. The white blood cells were lightly repetitively beaten and washed by pipette, then centrifuged at room temperature at 3000 rpm/min for 5 min. The supernatant was discarded.(4) 50 µL PHARM-GENE 01 SNP analytical preservation solution (Yaojinbao®) was added to the centrifuge tube that contains enriched white blood cells. The sample solution was repetitively beaten and mixed by pipette, then let it stand at room temperature for 25 min, and shaken and mixed lightly 2 times during this period.(5) The corresponding PHARM-GENE 200 SNP analytical sample processing reagent (Yaojinfen®) was selected based on the type of gene to be detected (SLCO1B1 521T>C, SLCO1B1 388A>G, CYP3A4 1B, CYP3A4 1G, and CYP3A5\*3, respectively). 1.5 µL prepared white cells that treated with Yaojinbao® reagent were added precisely to the Yaojinfen® reagent. Then, the lid was tightly secured, and mixed uniformly.(6) The processed samples were tested and analyzed by TL998A type gene amplification fluorescence detector. Data acquisition and quantitation were performed using the analysis software of the Individualized Pharmaceutical Service platform (Tianlong Science and Technology Co. Ltd, Xi'An, China).

## 2.5 Pharmacokinetic study

To investigate the impact of the SLCO1B1 388A > G gene on the clinical pharmacokinetics of AC, and to avoid the influence of other genes such as SLCO1B1 521T>C, CYP3A4 1B, CYP3A4 1G, and CYP3A5\*3, volunteers from groups 1, 2, and 6 in Table 3 were selected as Group A (GG, n=10), Group B (AG, n=10), and Group C (AA, n=5)<sup>[4]</sup> for the pharmacokinetic study. After an overnight fast, each participant received a single 40-mg oral dose of AC (2 tablets of Lipitor) with 100 mL of water. Licensed practical nurses used a venous indwelling needle (Needle-Free I.V. Catheter®, Linhwa Medical Equipment, Suzhou, China) and disposable vacuum blood collection tube (containing lithium heparin, BD, Franklin, NJ, USA) to collect blood samples. A 2

mL venous blood sample was collected at pre-dose, 0.25, 0.50, 0.75, 1.0, 1.5, 2.0, 4.0, 8.0, 12.0, 24.0, 48.0, and 72.0 hours after oral administration of 40 mg Lipitor. The collected blood samples were then centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 10 minutes within 30 minutes. The supernatant plasma was collected, split into three equal parts, and stored at -80°C until further use.

## 2.6 Statistical analysis

DAS 2.1 software (professional edition, version 2.1.1, Drug and Statistics, Shanghai, China) was used to analyse the plasma pharmacokinetic data and calculate the parameters using a non-compartmental model. The pharmacokinetic parameters included maximum plasma concentration ( $C_{max}$ ), time taken for the drug to reach  $C_{max}$  ( $T_{max}$ ), the area under the concentration-time profile from 0 h to 72 h ( $AUC_{0-72h}$ ), the area under the concentration-time profile from 0 h to infinity ( $AUC_{0-\infty}$ ), the elimination half-life time ( $t_{1/2}$ ), the clearance ( $CL_z/F$ ), the apparent volume of distribution ( $V_z/F$ ), the mean residence time profile from 0 h to 72 h ( $MRT_{0-72 h}$ ), etc. The statistical analysis was performed SPSS Version 19.0 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, USA). All data were presented as mean values  $\pm$  standard deviation (Mean  $\pm$  SD) unless otherwise indicated. The effects of genetic polymorphisms on the pharmacokinetic parameters of AC were assessed by the analysis of variance (ANOVA). Differences were considered statistically significant when  $P < 0.05$ .

## 3. Results and Discussion

### 3.1 Demographics of the Total Individuals and Each Group of Volunteers

After plasma biochemical examination, 187 healthy volunteers out of 210 subjects who passed the initial screening and had normal liver and kidney function were selected for genetic polymorphism analysis of SLCO1B1 521T>C, SLCO1B1 388A>G, CYP3A4 1B, CYP3A4 1G, and CYP3A5\*3. The demographics of the 187 individuals (referred to as the group of total volunteers) and each group of volunteers in this pharmacokinetic study (Groups A, B, and C) are summarized in Table 1.

**Table 1. Baseline information and hepatic-renal function conditions of each group volunteer (Mean  $\pm$  SD).**

Category	Total volunteers (n=187)	Group A (n=10)	Group B (n=10)	Group C (n=5)	Normal ranges

	Age (y)	29.78±4.80	33.2±8.64	30.20±5.08	29.70±3.18	-
Fundamental state	Height (m)	175.41±4.27	172.40±2.24	174.20±4.24	174.40±4.40	-
	Weight (kg)	76.13±9.68	64.60±4.72	73.10±13.54	76.00±12.20	-
	BMI	24.70±2.75	21.70±1.24	23.84±3.38	24.86±3.18	-
	TP (g/L)	72.89±2.88	71.42±1.74	72.77±3.04	74.69±4.63	60-80
Liver function test	ALB (g/L)	48.98±1.51	47.60±0.72	48.10±1.20	48.94±2.13	40-55
	ALP (U/L)	78.86±13.81	66.4±11.12	74.00±15.00	86.70±15.04	15-112
	ALT (U/L)	27.79±14.46	28.4±14.24	23.40±9.44	27.80±11.80	0-40
	AST (U/L)	20.00±6.05	17.80±4.56	17.90±2.90	19.30±2.76	0-40
	TBIL (µmol/L)	13.85±4.06	14.02±4.14	12.86±4.83	11.05±4.81	1.71-17.1
	GGT (U/L)	37.45±20.91	39.20±25.44	38.80±25.12	31.70±8.84	3-50
	CREA (µmol/L)	76.54±6.91	74.40±7.52	75.10±5.70	76.10±5.90	44-115
Kidney function test	BUN (mmol/L)	4.75±0.83	4.54±1.00	4.80±0.63	5.494±1.15	2.1-7.9
	UA (µmol/L)	377.97±51.04	382.4±64.48	369.90±43.30	371.40±59.40	149-417

### 3.2 Distribution of Genetic Polymorphisms

The frequencies and respective proportions of genotypes for SLCO1B1 521T>C, SLCO1B1 388A>G, CYP3A4 1B, CYP3A4 1G, and CYP3A5\*3 were determined in a group of 187 healthy volunteers and are presented in Table 2.

**Table 2. The frequencies of genotypes of SLCO1B1 521T>C, SLCO1B1 388A>G, CYP3A4 1\*G, CYP3A4 1\*G, CYP3A5\*3 and respective proportion in 187 healthy volunteers.**

Genotyping	Genotype distribution			Sample size
	-	+	++	
SLCO1B1 521T>C	146 (78.07%)	39 (20.86%)	2 (1.07%)	187
SLCO1B1 388A>G	16 (8.56%)	63 (33.69%)	108 (57.75%)	187
CYP3A4 1*G	117 (62.57%)	64 (34.22%)	6 (3.21%)	187

<b>CYP3A4 1*B</b>	187	0	0	187
	(100%)	(0%)	(0%)	
<b>CYP3A5*3</b>	109	65	13	187
	(58.29%)	(34.76%)	(6.95%)	

---

'-': wild-type homozygote; '+': mutant heterozygote; '++': mutant homozygote.

The distribution of genotypes for SLCO1B1 521T>C, SLCO1B1 388A>G, CYP3A4 1B, CYP3A4 1G, and CYP3A53 in the 187 volunteers was summarized and statistically analyzed. Based on the genotype distribution, the 187 volunteers were divided into 28 groups, and the top 10 groups accounted for nearly 85.0% of the total volunteers, as summarized in Table 3.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

**Table 3. Classification of gene mutations of CYP3A5\*3, SLCO1B1 521T>C, SLCO1B1 388A>G, CYP3A4\*1G and CYP3A4\*1B in 187 healthy volunteers**

Group	CYP3A5*3 (G>A)	SLCO1B1 521(T>C)	SLCO1B1 388(A>G)	CYP3A4*1G (C>T)	CYP3A4*1B (G>A)	Total Sample	Percentage (%)
1(A)	-	-	++	-	-	37	19.79
2(B)	-	-	+	-	-	31	16.58
3	+	-	++	+	-	24	12.83
4	-	+	++	-	-	21	11.23
5	+	-	+	+	-	14	7.49
6(C)	-	-	-	-	-	9	4.81
7	+	+	++	+	-	7	3.74
8	+	-	++	-	-	6	3.21
9	+	-	+	-	-	4	2.14
10	-	+	+	-	-	4	2.14
11	+	-	-	+	-	3	1.60
12	-	-	++	+	-	3	1.60
13	+	+	+	+	-	2	1.07
14	++	-	+	+	-	2	1.07
15	++	-	+	++	-	2	1.07
16	++	-	++	+	-	2	1.07
17	++	+	++	++	-	2	1.07
18	-	+	++	+	-	2	1.07
19	-	-	+	+	-	2	1.07
20	+	-	-	-	-	2	1.07
21	+	++	++	+	-	1	0.53
22	+	++	+	+	-	1	0.53
23	+	+	++	-	-	1	0.53
24	++	-	-	++	-	1	0.53
25	++	-	+	-	-	1	0.53
26	++	-	++	-	-	1	0.53
27	++	-	++	++	-	1	0.53
28	++	-	-	-	-	1	0.53

'-': wild-type homozygote; '+': mutant heterozygote; '++': mutant homozygote.

Among these groups, the largest population among the 187 subjects was volunteers carrying the wild type of SLCO1B1 521T>C, CYP3A4 1B, CYP3A4 1G, CYP3A53, and

mutant homozygote of SLCO1B1 388A>G (GG, Group 1 in Table 3, named as Group A), comprising 19.79% of the total. The second-largest group (16.58% of the total) comprised subjects carrying the wild type of SLCO1B1 521T>C, SLCO1B1 388A>G, CYP3A4 1B, CYP3A4 1G, and mutant heterozygote of SLCO1B1 388A>G (GA, Group 2 in Table 3, named as Group B). The volunteers who carried all the wild genotypes of SLCO1B1 521T>C, SLCO1B1 388A>G, CYP3A4 1B, CYP3A4 1G, CYP3A5\*3, and SLCO1B1 388A>G (AA, Group 6 in Table 3, named as Group C) comprised only 4.81% of the 187 subjects.

### 3.3 Effect of SLCO1B1 388A>G Polymorphisms on AC Pharmacokinetics

To investigate the impact of the SLCO1B1 388A > G gene on the clinical pharmacokinetics of AC, and to avoid the influence of other genes such as SLCO1B1 521T>C, CYP3A4 1B, CYP3A4 1G, and CYP3A5\*3, volunteers from groups 1, 2, and 6 in Table 3 were selected as Group A (GG, n=10), Group B (AG, n=10), and Group C (AA, Xia et al. 2018) for the pharmacokinetic study. A validated UHPLC-MS/MS method was successfully applied to the pharmacokinetic study of Group A and Group B after the oral administration of Lipitor at a dose of 40mg. The relevant pharmacokinetic parameters of AC in each group were calculated by DAS 2.1 software. The acquired results were then compared with the pharmacokinetics of AC in Group C, which was described previously<sup>[4]</sup>. The relevant pharmacokinetic parameters of AC in Group A, B, and C are summarized and presented in Table 4.

**Table 4. The main pharmacokinetic parameters of AC in Group A (GG, n=10), Group B (GA, n=10) and Group C (AA, n=5)<sup>[4]</sup> subjects after oral administration of 40 mg Lipitor.**

Main parameters	Group A(Mean±SD)	Group B(Mean±SD)	Group C(Mean±SD)
C <sub>max</sub> (ng/mL)	13.00±6.91	9.15±4.85	135.59±50.03
T <sub>max</sub> (h)	2.59±1.59	2.87±1.39	2.4±0.894
AUC <sub>0-72h</sub> (ng/mL*h)	108.53±43.66	94.35±46.50	1298.15±266.12
AUC <sub>0-∞</sub> (ng/mL*h)	111.47±42.58	95.83±46.27	1318.48±290.61
t <sub>1/2</sub> (h)	10.22±1.94	8.43±2.37	8.30±2.36
CLz/F (L/h)	406.63±151.84	504.83±227.39	31.49±6.61
Vz/F (L)	6174.95±2871.59	6624.72±4353.59	392.95±183.39
MRT <sub>0-72h</sub> (h)	11.43±1.91	11.33±2.42	11.25±3.11
MRT <sub>0-∞</sub> (h)	12.74±1.69	12.23±2.68	11.74±3.01

### 3.4 Discussion

No significant differences ( $P > 0.05$ ) were observed in the pharmacokinetic parameters between Group A and Group B. However, Group C showed significantly higher  $C_{max}$  and AUC values ( $P < 0.01$ ), as well as markedly lower values of  $CL_z/F$  and  $V_z/F$  ( $P < 0.01$ ) compared with Group A and Group B.  $C_{max}$  was found to be the best parameter for revealing differences in pharmacokinetic profiles, and  $AUC_{0-t}$  was considered to be the best parameter for evaluating inter-individual pharmacokinetic variation of a drug<sup>[26]</sup>. Previous studies have reported that genetic variation can cause >10-fold variations in the pharmacokinetic parameters of AC, namely  $C_{max}$  and AUC<sup>[26]</sup>, and marked interpatient variability in plasma levels, particularly at higher doses<sup>[27]</sup>.

Several studies have investigated the impact of SLCO1B1 genetic variations on the efficacy of different statins, particularly atorvastatin and simvastatin. However, these studies have yielded controversial results<sup>[28-36]</sup>. For instance, the rs4149056 (SLCO1B1 521T > C) and rs2306283 (SLCO1B1 388 A > G) polymorphisms have been found to affect the amino acid sequence of the SLCO1B1 gene product. The presence of the rs4149056 SNP has been associated with a reduced LDL cholesterol-lowering response to pravastatin in elderly patients<sup>[30]</sup>, while no significant effect has been observed with the rs2306283 SNP<sup>[30]</sup>. In the Emirati population, the prevalence of the rs4149056 C allele, which is linked to statin-induced myopathy, was lower compared to Caucasians and Africans. However, patients with this allele showed a trend of higher glycosylated hemoglobin and BMI despite having a normal lipid profile<sup>[31]</sup>.

Regarding atorvastatin, an extensive analysis has revealed that the SLCO1B1 521T > C and SLCO1B1 571T > C SNPs may affect the inter-individual response to the drug. However, further studies with larger sample sizes are needed to confirm this finding<sup>[35]</sup>. The impact of the SLCO1B1 388 A > G SNP on OATP1B1 transport activity and the lipid-lowering efficacy of pitavastatin has shown conflicting results. One study reported elevated levels of  $C_{max}$  and  $AUC_{0-\infty}$  of pitavastatin in individuals carrying the 388GA and 388GG genotypes, while another study found no significant influence in Chinese volunteers<sup>[21]</sup>. Furthermore, OATP1B1 388A>G polymorphism plays a significant role in

the pharmacokinetics of pitavastatin in healthy Chinese volunteers, and this may provide one interpretation for the inter-difference in pitavastatin disposition<sup>[32]</sup>.

Additionally, studies conducted in Thai, Greek, and Chinese populations failed to establish a significant association between SLCO1B1 polymorphisms (c.388A>G, c.521T>C, g.89595T>C, 411G>A, c.597C>T and \*439T>G) and the lipid-lowering response to simvastatin or atorvastatin<sup>[37][20][33][34]</sup>. Similar frequencies of the SLCO1B1 521T>C and 388A>G variants were observed in Chinese patients with essential hyperlipidemia compared to healthy Chinese and Japanese individuals, but these frequencies differed significantly from Caucasians and blacks<sup>[33]</sup>. In a study involving Macedonian subjects, no significant association was found between different SLCO1B1 genotypes and atorvastatin response<sup>[34]</sup>.

These conflicting conclusions may arise due to variations in the genetic mutations involved in different populations. For instance, the genotype frequencies of SLCO1B1 388A>G differed significantly between Chinese-Han and the Greek population. In the Chinese-Han population, the genotype frequencies of wild-type homozygote, mutant heterozygote, and mutant homozygote of SLCO1B1 388A>G were 8.56% (AA), 33.69% (AG) and 57.75% (GG), respectively<sup>[4]</sup>. However, in the Greek population, the genotype frequencies were 32.0% (AA), 49.4% (AG), and 18.6% (GG), respectively<sup>[20]</sup>. The genotype frequencies of SLCO1B1 388A>G was significant difference in different crowds<sup>[4, 20, 38]</sup>. This suggests that population-specific differences in genotype frequencies may contribute to the contradictory findings. Furthermore, the interactions of other atorvastatin-lipid-related gene polymorphisms (e.g. CYP3A polymorphisms) were not taken into consideration in all those studies.

In the present study, the  $C_{max}$  of subjects carrying the wild-type genotype of SLCO1B1 388A>G was about 14.75 times higher than that of the heterozygous genotype and 10.43 times higher than that of the homozygous genotype, as shown in Table 4. The  $AUC_{0-72h}$  of volunteers with the wild-type genotype of SLCO1B1 388A>G was about 13.81 times higher than that of the heterozygous genotype and 11.96 times higher than that of the homozygous genotype, as shown in Table 4. These results confirm that carriers of the wild-type genotype of SLCO1B1 388A>G exhibit higher absorption of AC and decreased uptake of AC into the liver, resulting in increased

plasma concentration. The CLz/F values of subjects carrying the wild-type genotype of SLCO1B1 388A>G were about 6.24% for the heterozygous genotype and 7.74% for the homozygous genotype, as shown in Table 4. The Vz/F value of Group C was about 5.93% for Group B and 6.36% for Group A, as shown in Table 4. However, no significant differences were observed in the value of  $T_{max}$ ,  $t_{1/2}$ , or  $MRT_{0-72h}$  for AC among these groups ( $P > 0.05$ ), as shown in Table 4.

Currently, AC is definitely the most commonly prescribed lipid-lowering drug in China. Despite the relatively low risk of undesirable side effects of AC, an increasing number of patients are presenting with adverse reactions, which can be attributed to the very high prescription rate of AC<sup>[36]</sup>. The current study indicates that carriers of the wild type genotype of SLCO1B1 388A>G have higher levels of  $C_{max}$  and AUC of AC, while a markedly diminished clearance activity of AC is observed in carriers of the wild type genotype. According to the Lipitor label, patients who took 40mg of Lipitor for a long time had a 5.1% higher incidence of myalgia than those who took placebo. The proportion of subjects carrying wild genotypes of SLCO1B1 388A>G, SLCO1B1 521T>C, CYP3A4 1B, CYP3A4 1G, and CYP3A5\*3 genes was about 4.81% in this study (shown in Table 3), which is roughly equivalent to the incidence of myalgia after taking Lipitor (5.1%). This might be one of the causes of adverse reactions in a very few patients after taking AC.

In the current study, the effect of the SLCO1B1 388A>G genetic polymorphism on AC pharmacokinetics was evaluated for the first time, based on all subjects carrying wild genotypes of SLCO1B1 521T>C, CYP3A4 1B, CYP3A4 1G, and CYP3A5\*3 genes. Interestingly, unexplained high creatine kinase (CK) levels ( $> 1000$  U/L) with no clinical symptoms were observed in several volunteers according to the plasma biochemical examination data in the initial 210 subjects. This might be another cause of adverse reactions in a very few patients after taking AC.

The limitations of this study are twofold. Firstly, the exclusive recruitment of male volunteers restricts the generalizability of the findings to the broader population, including females. Including female participants would have provided a more comprehensive understanding of potential gender-specific effects on drug pharmacokinetics. Therefore, caution should be exercised when extrapolating the

study's results to females. Future studies should aim to include a diverse sample that represents both males and females to ensure a more representative analysis. Secondly, the study overlooked the influence of ABC transporters, specifically ABCB1 and ABCG2, which are known to have a significant impact on drug transport and metabolism<sup>[8, 22, 39-41]</sup>. These transporters play an important role in drug disposition, and considering common polymorphisms in these transporters would have provided valuable insights into their contribution to the observed drug pharmacokinetics. Incorporating ABC transporters as potential factors in future research would enhance our understanding of their influence on drug response. Therefore, it is important to acknowledge that the findings of this study may not fully capture the effects of SLCO1B1 polymorphisms on statin efficacy in the general population. Further research is warranted to gain a comprehensive understanding of the association between SLCO1B1 polymorphisms and the effectiveness of statins in diverse populations. It is crucial to consider population-specific variations in genotype frequencies, as well as genetic polymorphisms involved in the metabolism and transport of statins, when investigating the impact of genetic variations on drug response. By addressing these limitations, future studies can improve the clinical applicability and relevance of their findings.

## 5. Conclusions

The data presented in this study highlights the importance of genetic testing in predicting the response and adverse reactions to lipid-lowering drugs. This study evaluated the effect of genetic polymorphisms on the pharmacokinetics of AC and found that carriers of wild type genotypes had higher levels of  $C_{max}$  and AUC, as well as reduced clearance activity. These findings suggest that genetic variations in drug metabolism and transporters can significantly affect drug efficacy and safety.

The most significant finding of this study is the effect of SLCO1B1 388A>G genetic polymorphism on the pharmacokinetics of AC, taking into account the influence of SLCO1B1 521T>C (rs4149056), CYP3A4 1B (rs2740574), CYP3A41G (rs2242480), and CYP3A5\*3 (rs776746) genes. The SLCO1B1 gene encodes the hepatic uptake transporter OATP1B1, which plays a critical role in the transport of AC into hepatocytes. The functionally important SNPs of this gene can lead to significant inter-

individual variability in plasma concentration of AC, thereby affecting the therapeutic efficacy and the risk of associated adverse effects. Several studies have reported an association between SLCO1B1 polymorphisms and the risk of myopathy in patients receiving AC<sup>[19]</sup>. This study also found that unexplained high CK levels ( $>1000$  U/L) were observed in some volunteers, which could be another cause of adverse reactions in a few patients after taking AC. Elevated CK levels are a recognized marker of muscle damage and are commonly used to monitor the risk of myopathy in patients receiving lipid-lowering drugs<sup>[42]</sup>.

Overall, the results of this study support the current clinical practice of individualized dosing of lipid-lowering drugs based on genetic testing. Patients who carry wild-type genotypes of SLCO1B1 388A>G, SLCO1B1 521T>C, CYP3A41G, CYP3A41B and CYP3A5\*3 should receive lower doses of AC to minimize the risk of adverse reactions. This approach may improve the safety and efficacy of AC treatment and reduce the economic burden associated with managing adverse events. In conclusion, genetic testing can provide valuable information for personalized dosing and management of lipid-lowering drugs. Further research is needed to validate the findings of this study in larger patient populations and to identify additional genetic markers that can predict the response and adverse reactions to AC and other lipid-lowering drugs.

### **Abbreviations**

AUC, Area under the plasma concentration-time curve

BMI, Body mass index

C<sub>max</sub>, Maximum plasma concentration

TP, Total protein

ALB, Albumin

ALP, Alkaline phosphatase

ALT, Alanine aminotransferase

AST, Aspartate aminotransferase

TBIL, Total bilirubin

GGT, Glutamyltranspeptidase

CREA, Creatinine

BUN, Blood urea nitrogen

UA, Uric Acid

CK, Creatine kinase

-, Wild-type homozygote

+, Mutant heterozygote

++, Mutant homozygote.

## Reference

- [1] T Komatsu, Ayaori M, Uto-Kondo H, et al. Atorvastatin Reduces Circulating S100A12 Levels in Patients with Carotid Atherosclerotic Plaques - A Link with Plaque Inflammation[J]. *J Atheroscler Thromb*, 2022, 29(5): 775-784.
- [2] D-P Leal, Goncalinho GHF, Tavoni T-M, et al. The Interplay of Sirtuin-1, LDL-Cholesterol, and HDL Function: A Randomized Controlled Trial Comparing the Effects of Energy Restriction and Atorvastatin on Women with Premature Coronary Artery Disease[J]. *Antioxidants (Basel)*, 2022, 11(12).
- [3] J-W Park, Kim J-M, Lee H-Y, et al. CYP3A5\*3 and SLCO1B1 c.521T>C Polymorphisms Influence the Pharmacokinetics of Atorvastatin and 2-Hydroxy Atorvastatin[J]. *Pharmaceutics*, 2022, 14(7).
- [4] B Xia, Li Y, Zhang Y, et al. UHPLC-MS/MS method for determination of atorvastatin calcium in human plasma: Application to a pharmacokinetic study based on healthy volunteers with specific genotype[J]. *J Pharm Biomed Anal*, 2018, 160428-435.
- [5] L-B Benes, Bassi N-S, Davidson M-H. The Risk of Hepatotoxicity, New Onset Diabetes and Rhabdomyolysis in the Era of High-Intensity Statin Therapy: Does Statin Type Matter?[J]. *Prog Cardiovasc Dis*, 2016, 59(2): 145-152.
- [6] J Reig-Lopez, Merino-Sanjuan M, Garcia-Arieta A, et al. A physiologically based pharmacokinetic model for open acid and lactone forms of atorvastatin and metabolites to assess the drug-gene interaction with SLCO1B1 polymorphisms[J]. *Biomed Pharmacother*, 2022, 156113914.
- [7] Y Zhu, Chiang C-W, Wang L, et al. A multistate transition model for statin-induced myopathy and statin discontinuation[J]. *CPT Pharmacometrics Syst Pharmacol*, 2021, 10(10): 1236-1244.
- [8] Skvrce-N Mirosevic, Macolic Sarinic-V, Simic I, et al. ABCG2 gene polymorphisms as risk factors for atorvastatin adverse reactions: a case-control study[J]. *Pharmacogenomics*, 2015, 16(8): 803-815.
- [9] O Badran, Abu Amna-M, Turgeman I, et al. Rhabdomyolysis Induced by the Interaction Between Ribociclib and Statins- Case Report and Literature Review[J]. *Breast Cancer (Dove Med Press)*, 2023, 1547-50.
- [10] DG-Jr Lamprecht, Saseen J-J, Shaw P-B. Clinical conundrums involving statin drug-drug interactions[J]. *Prog Cardiovasc Dis*, 2022, 7583-89.

- [11] J-M Collins, Nworu A-C, Mohammad S-J, et al. Regulatory variants in a novel distal enhancer regulate the expression of CYP3A4 and CYP3A5[J]. *Clin Transl Sci*, 2022, 15(11): 2720-2731.
- [12] K Adachi, Ohyama K, Tanaka Y, et al. High hepatic and plasma exposures of atorvastatin in subjects harboring impaired cytochrome P450 3A4 \*16 modeled after virtual administrations and possibly associated with statin intolerance found in the Japanese adverse drug event report database[J]. *Drug Metab Pharmacokinet*, 2023, 49100486.
- [13] J-E Park, Kim K-B, Bae S-K, et al. Contribution of cytochrome P450 3A4 and 3A5 to the metabolism of atorvastatin[J]. *Xenobiotica*, 2008, 38(9): 1240-1251.
- [14] Z Jiang, Wu Z, Liu R, et al. Effect of polymorphisms in drug metabolism and transportation on plasma concentration of atorvastatin and its metabolites in patients with chronic kidney disease[J]. *Front Pharmacol*, 2023, 141102810.
- [15] H-H Nguyen, Nguyen CTT, Mai TNP, et al. Associations between four polymorphisms of the SLCO1B1 and effectiveness of the statins: a meta-analysis[J]. *Pharmacogenet Genomics*, 2023, 33(4): 65-78.
- [16] S Li, Yu Y, Jin Z, et al. Prediction of pharmacokinetic drug-drug interactions causing atorvastatin-induced rhabdomyolysis using physiologically based pharmacokinetic modelling[J]. *Biomed Pharmacother*, 2019, 119109416.
- [17] A Vildhede, Karlgren M, Svedberg E-K, et al. Hepatic uptake of atorvastatin: influence of variability in transporter expression on uptake clearance and drug-drug interactions[J]. *Drug Metab Dispos*, 2014, 42(7): 1210-1218.
- [18] Correction to: "hepatic uptake of atorvastatin: influence of variability in transporter expression on uptake clearance and drug-drug interactions"[J]. *Drug Metab Dispos*, 2015, 43(5): 786-787.
- [19] M Niemi. Transporter pharmacogenetics and statin toxicity[J]. *Clin Pharmacol Ther*, 2010, 87(1): 130-133.
- [20] E Giannakopoulou, Ragia G, Kolovou V, et al. No impact of SLCO1B1 521T>C, 388A>G and 411G>A polymorphisms on response to statin therapy in the Greek population[J]. *Mol Biol Rep*, 2014, 41(7): 4631-4638.
- [21] Q Zhou, Chen Q-X, Ruan Z-R, et al. CYP2C9\*3(1075A > C), ABCB1 and SLCO1B1 genetic polymorphisms and gender are determinants of inter-subject variability in pitavastatin pharmacokinetics[J]. *Pharmazie*, 2013, 68(3): 187-194.
- [22] M Lehtisalo, Taskinen S, Tarkiainen E-K, et al. A comprehensive pharmacogenomic study indicates roles for SLCO1B1, ABCG2 and SLCO2B1 in rosuvastatin pharmacokinetics[J]. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*, 2023, 89(1): 242-252.
- [23] X Wu, Gong C, Weinstock J, et al. Associations of the SLCO1B1 Polymorphisms With Hepatic Function, Baseline Lipid Levels, and Lipid-lowering Response to Simvastatin in Patients With Hyperlipidemia[J]. *Clin Appl Thromb Hemost*, 2018, 24(9\_suppl): 240S-247S.
- [24] C Ruiz-Iruela, Candas-Estebanez B, Pinto-Sala X, et al. Genetic contribution to lipid target achievement with statin therapy: a prospective study[J]. *Pharmacogenomics J*, 2020, 20(3): 494-504.

- [25] J-G Hwang, Yu K-S, Lee S. Comparison of the Pharmacokinetics of Highly Variable Drugs in Healthy Subjects Using a Partial Replicated Crossover Study: A Fixed-Dose Combination of Fimasartan 120 mg and Atorvastatin 40 mg versus Separate Tablets[J]. *Drug Des Devel Ther*, 2020, 14:1953-1961.
- [26] RBR Leon-Cachon, Ascacio-Martinez J-A, Gamino-Pena M-E, et al. A pharmacogenetic pilot study reveals MTHFR, DRD3, and MDR1 polymorphisms as biomarker candidates for slow atorvastatin metabolizers[J]. *BMC Cancer*, 2016, 16:74.
- [27] M-K DeGorter, Tirona R-G, Schwarz U-I, et al. Clinical and pharmacogenetic predictors of circulating atorvastatin and rosuvastatin concentrations in routine clinical care[J]. *Circ Cardiovasc Genet*, 2013, 6(4): 400-408.
- [28] J-F Thompson, Man M, Johnson K-J, et al. An association study of 43 SNPs in 16 candidate genes with atorvastatin response[J]. *Pharmacogenomics J*, 2005, 5(6): 352-358.
- [29] P Couvert, Giral P, Dejager S, et al. Association between a frequent allele of the gene encoding OATP1B1 and enhanced LDL-lowering response to fluvastatin therapy[J]. *Pharmacogenomics*, 2008, 9(9): 1217-1227.
- [30] H Akao, Polisecki E, Kajinami K, et al. Genetic variation at the SLCO1B1 gene locus and low density lipoprotein cholesterol lowering response to pravastatin in the elderly[J]. *Atherosclerosis*, 2012, 220(2): 413-417.
- [31] M Saber-Ayad, Manzoor S, El-Serafi A, et al. Statin-induced myopathy SLCO1B1 521T>C is associated with prediabetes, high body mass index and normal lipid profile in Emirati population[J]. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract*, 2018, 139:272-277.
- [32] J Wen, Xiong Y. OATP1B1 388A>G polymorphism and pharmacokinetics of pitavastatin in Chinese healthy volunteers[J]. *J Clin Pharm Ther*, 2010, 35(1): 99-104.
- [33] Q Fu, Li Y-P, Gao Y, et al. Lack of association between SLCO1B1 polymorphism and the lipid-lowering effects of atorvastatin and simvastatin in Chinese individuals[J]. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol*, 2013, 69(6): 1269-1274.
- [34] K Mladenovska, Grapci A-D, Vavlukis M, et al. Influence of SLCO1B1 polymorphisms on atorvastatin efficacy and safety in Macedonian subjects[J]. *Pharmazie*, 2017, 72(5): 288-295.
- [35] A Daka, Dimovski A, Kapedanovska A, et al. Effects of single nucleotide polymorphisms and haplotypes of the SLCO1B1 gene on the pharmacokinetic profile of atorvastatin in healthy Macedonian volunteers[J]. *Pharmazie*, 2015, 70(7): 480-488.
- [36] J-A Hubacek, Dlouha D, Adamkova V, et al. SLCO1B1 polymorphism is not associated with risk of statin-induced myalgia/myopathy in a Czech population[J]. *Med Sci Monit*, 2015, 21:1454-1459.
- [37] N Kaewboonlert, Thitisopee W, Sirintronson W, et al. Lack of association between SLCO1B1 polymorphisms and lipid-lowering response to simvastatin therapy in Thai hypercholesterolaemic patients[J]. *J Clin Pharm Ther*, 2018, 43(5): 647-655.
- [38] M Dashti, Al-Matrouk A, Channanath A, et al. Frequency of functional exonic single-nucleotide polymorphisms and haplotype distribution in the SLCO1B1 gene across genetic ancestry groups in the Qatari population[J]. *Sci Rep*, 2022, 12(1): 14858.

- [39] G Bharath, Vishnuprabu D-P, Preethi L, et al. SLCO1B1 and ABCB1 variants synergistically influence the atorvastatin treatment response in South Indian coronary artery disease patients[J]. *Pharmacogenomics*, 2022, 23(12): 683-694.
- [40] L Zhang, Lv H, Zhang Q, et al. Association of SLCO1B1 and ABCB1 Genetic Variants with Atorvastatin-induced Myopathy in Patients with Acute Ischemic Stroke[J]. *Curr Pharm Des*, 2019, 25(14): 1663-1670.
- [41] K Fukunaga, Nakagawa H, Ishikawa T, et al. ABCB1 polymorphism is associated with atorvastatin-induced liver injury in Japanese population[J]. *BMC Genet*, 2016, 17(1): 79.
- [42] R-S Rosenson. Current overview of statin-induced myopathy[J]. *Am J Med*, 2004, 116(6): 408-416.

UNDER PEER REVIEW