

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_104456
Title of the Manuscript:	Improvement of Mechanical Properties of Pineapple Leaf Fiber by Added the Conventional Composite and Aluminum Particle
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The manuscript content is important to the scientific research community.</p> <p>The title is suitable for the manuscript content.</p> <p>The abstract is sufficient for the manuscript.</p> <p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript is not properly organised.</p> <p>This manuscript scientifically acceptable correct.</p> <p>The number of references for the manuscript are sufficient and recent year, but the author need to decide to put the full stop after the citation number.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The language/English quality of the article is acceptable for scholarly communications. The line spacing not properly set, some are 1 line spacing and some are 1.5 line spacing. The numbering also not properly setup. Figure 6 is very blur, text can not be read. Also, Table 4 and table 5 so difficult to scan.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Check your text, there are mistakes as highlighted in the manuscript. Also, table's caption and figure's caption are not properly formatted, some italic but not all, the font also not consistent throughout the paper.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohd Soyapi Bin Mohd Yusoff
Department, University & Country	Institute of Industry Revolution 4.0, The National University of Malaysia, Malaysia