

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_106812
Title of the Manuscript:	The Diagnostic and Prognostic Role of Non-Contrast Chest CT in COVID-19 Primary Infected Patients
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The manuscript is of exceptional importance for the scientific community</li> <li>2. The title of the article is appropriate</li> <li>3. The summary of the article is more comprehensive, but quite adequate because it succinctly describes the topic of the paper.</li> <li>4. The paragraphs and structure of the manuscript are not emphasized enough. Maybe it's just a working version, but I suggest that the chapter headings be numbered and visibly marked and separated.</li> <li>5. The manuscript is scientifically based and correctly done.</li> <li>6. References are fine. I ask that serial numbers in the bibliography be placed in square brackets.</li> </ol> <p>I am of the opinion that there is a lack of a photo of the device that was used to record the subject, I am of the opinion that it would be more clear if the tables were located within the text and not at the end of the work.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. I am not a native English speaker, but as a scientist I fully understand the style and language in which the paper was written.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>I am of the opinion that there is a lack of a photo of the device that was used to record the subject, I am of the opinion that it would be more clear if the tables were located within the text and not at the end of the work.</p> <p>It is not stated where the research was conducted, neither the country, nor the city, nor the institution...</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Sarjko Baksa</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Međimurje Polytechnic in Čakovec, Croatia</b>