

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_107451</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Perspective, Challenges for Biological and Chemical Management of Important Diseases of Mungbean (Vigna radiata L.) A: Review</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. Yes, However the information are not well arranged</p> <p>2. Yes,</p> <p>3. The abstract is briefly written however does not cover all the major information communicated in the manuscript.</p> <p>4. The manuscript is dived into various subsections however, they are not sufficient for a review article.</p> <p>5. Reporting the experimental results is confusing as whether this is review paper or research paper</p> <p>6. References contain many articles which are general in nature and note related to the topic directly. More recent works has to be included.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>There are grammatical errors and spelling mistakes throughout the manuscript. The manuscript has to be checked thoroughly before publishing.</p> <p>Usage of short forms like veg for vegetarian approx. for approximately etc should be avoided in scientific manuscript</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The manuscript is very roughly written, many sentences are repetitive, grammar mistakes are plenty.</p> <p>Before publication the manuscript should be thoroughly checked and more recent data should be added to complete the review. Informations have to be arranged and presented well. Introduction and conclusion should be rewritten by adding more information. General statements without any scientific proofs should be avoided in a scientific manuscript</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Darsana Dilip K C</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>India</b>