

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_108029
Title of the Manuscript:	Steps for security and privacy protection in NLP-based marking systems
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes, the manuscript is important for scientific community. This paper provides an overview of the methods and techniques used to ensure the security and privacy protection of Natural Language Processing (NLP) based test scoring systems. NLPs improve the accuracy and efficiency of correction systems.</p> <p>2. Yes, the title is of the article suitable.</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article can be more complete, involving the objective, the methodology used, the results achieved and the final considerations.</p> <p>4. I think that it can be improved and should be divided into introduction, literature review, methodology, results and conclusion.</p> <p>5. I think the manuscript is not scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. The references are not sufficient and recent.</p> <p>The work must be revised by expanding the literature cited in the text (introduction, for example). Presenting a good discussion of the available and published bibliography on the subject, describing the methodology of the work completely, showing the results with discussion, and better describing the final considerations.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The work must be revised by expanding the literature cited in the text (introduction, for example). Presenting a good discussion of the available and published bibliography on the subject, describing the methodology of the work completely, showing the results with discussion, and better describing the final considerations.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pedro Junior Zucatelli
Department, University & Country	Brazil