

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Food Research and Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFRN_107766
Title of the Manuscript:	CONSUMER ACCEPTABILITY AND NUTRIENT CONTENT OF WESTWOOD (CIRINA FORDA) LARVA-ENRICHED VEGETABLE SOUPS
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The article titled "Nutrient Composition and Consumer Acceptability of Cirina forda Larva-Enriched Vegetable Soups" provides valuable information into the nutritional potential and consumer acceptability of incorporating <i>Cirina forda</i> larvae into vegetable soups. Compulsorily, there are several aspects of the article that need to be addressed and improved upon to enhance its quality and clarity.</p> <p>1. Methodology: The article included extensive details about the process of preparing soups, which could be summarized to maintain focus on the main research findings and their implications. Also, the methodology used for proximate evaluation was not mentioned in methodology section, rather it appeared in the results section. It should be looked into appropriately</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>It is fairly suitable, but the article would benefit from thorough proofreading for grammar, syntax and sentence structure.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mark Ohioghie Ahiokhai
Department, University & Country	Michael and Cecilia Ibru University, Nigeria