

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_107582
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of Growth Promoter-Enriched Farm-Made Feeds on the Growth, Flesh Quality and Economics of Labeo rohita Culture
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Yes Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. Yes <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Is the manuscript important for scientific community? The research explores the relatively new concept of using growth promoters in farm-made feeds for <i>Labeo rohita</i> culture. This novelty makes it relevant for researchers and practitioners seeking innovative approaches to enhance aquaculture production. Additionally, the manuscript covers a wide range of parameters, including growth performance, flesh composition, palatability, and economic feasibility. Such a comprehensive analysis is valuable for understanding the multifaceted impacts of growth promoters on fish culture. Finally, the study's findings have practical implications for aquaculture practitioners and fish farmers, especially in Bangladesh, where the research was conducted. It suggests that using growth promoter-enriched feeds can lead to improved growth and economic efficiency.</p> <p>Is the title of the article suitable? Yes Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Yes</p> <p>Introduction While the introduction mentions the use of growth promoters, it doesn't delve into specific details about these promoters, their types, or their mode of action. Providing this information early on would enhance the reader's understanding. Similarly, the introduction references several studies on growth promoters, but it doesn't highlight the specific gaps or questions that this new research aims to address. It's important to clarify the research objectives more explicitly. Finally, the transition between discussing aquafeed issues and the introduction of growth promoters could be smoother for better coherence.</p> <p>Methods The sensory scoring scale for the palatability test is introduced, but there is no explanation of why this test is being conducted or how it connects to the research objectives. It would be better if the authors explained the relevance and how the growth promoters affect the palatability of the fish meat. The economic evaluation of the experimental feeds is briefly mentioned, but the section could benefit from explaining why this evaluation is being conducted and how it contributes to the study's findings. It would be better if the authors included other economic evaluations parameters that were used in the duration of the experiment. While statistical analysis is mentioned, it would be helpful to clarify what specific parameters were analyzed by a specific statistical tool.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>I have done few minor edits that can be seen through the track changes.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jaynos R. Cortes
Department, University & Country	North Eastern Mindanao State University, Philippines