

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_106993
Title of the Manuscript:	Level of Satisfaction among Farmers in University Kisan Mela
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Need to have clearer introduction of University <i>Kisan Mela</i>. Is this an agriculture university?</li> <li>• There is no current description of the current scenario and what is current research gap on its students' satisfaction.</li> <li>• No clear research objectives were listed for this study</li> <li>• There is no review of past studies pertaining to the area of study</li> <li>• The methodological part is merely stating but no reasoning provided Eg. Why study at Kisan Mela University instead of other universities? Total of respondents etc</li> <li>• The results were descriptive instead of inferential analysis were conducted</li> <li>• There is a need to interpret the results rather than stating and reporting it. All tables and figures presented need to be clearly explained and interpreted</li> <li>• The conclusion needs to discuss how the results of this study can narrow the current research gap (which was not identified earlier)</li> <li>• Ensure all the in-text citations are listed in the references list</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Chiam CC</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Malaysia</b>