

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_107232
Title of the Manuscript:	Implementation of a Real-Time IoT Based Energy Management System
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. A scientific community consists of scientists working in a particular field of science and, most importantly, of their relationships and interactions This type of words is to be including in the manuscript.</p> <p>2. Yes the title of the article is suitable. If possible make sure to change the title mu alternative title is "Microcontroller based Implementation of Real-Time Drive Managing Structure using IoT"</p> <p>3. Abstract of the article is not comprehensive the abstract has to be rewrite. Abstract should be more specific and it includes the following... 1) Outline of the implementation 2)Abstract looks like a general cause Rewrite the abstract if possible</p> <p>4. In Result section part comparison of techniques is missing. More number of results are to be gathered for the other electrical appliances some examples like AC, Water Heater, TVs, Inverters etc. with respect to rating of the appliances</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct because the manuscript looks like opinion article.</p> <p>6. References are ok but some references are not the article to refer. At least 15number of references are to be included in the manuscript. Citations are missing in the manuscript.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications But according to the policy of the journal we have to make comparison between the existing and the proposed system,</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>It looks like a general article may be to change the some sections and make more precise.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	M Pradeep
Department, University & Country	Shri Vishnu Engineering College for Women, India