

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJPAC_105587
Title of the Manuscript:	Nutritional and anti-nutritional compositions of rice bran as a potential animal feed.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5.</p> <p>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript is important, but the manuscript must be to improve before being published. The document is not clear. It is necessary to improve the structure.</p> <p>Yes, the title is fine.</p> <p>The abstract is very long. It is essential to highlight the significance of the research (max. 250 words) No. the structure is not clear. The introduction and material and methods are very large. The results and discussion need to be improved. No. The document needs to be improved.</p> <p>It is necessary to include current references.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	

<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Introduction</p> <p>The introduction is very large. The introduction should not contain tables. In the introduction mentioned “physical properties” and “functional properties”, but they are analysis don’t include in the research. The introduction should not have subtitle.</p> <p>Material and methods</p> <p>Where do the research was made? Where was the raw material obtained? In the research, It is necessary to place the Brand of equipment. The methodology is not clear (Pre-treatment of rice bran). The proximal analysis is a well know methodology. In crude fat, protein, ash and fiber crude is not necessary to describe all the methodology. It is necessary to make a brief summary. It is necessary to include dietary fiber analyses (soluble and insoluble fiber). In the text (introduction) mentioned about importance of dietary fiber. In the research, Was some statistical analysis conducted?</p> <p>Results and discussion</p> <p>The tables are not clear. There is not results discussion. In this section, it is being described the methodology, in the case, it is necessary to describe the most important results of research.</p>	
	<p>In the abstract, mentions phytic acid, however in the results don’t find about this antinutrient. In this section, it is necessary to compare with other studies. It is not necessary to place the formulas. The formulas could place in material and methods. There is no research conclusion.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>María Belen Quelal Tapia</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Ecuador</p>