

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_107908
Title of the Manuscript:	Quantifying the Influence of Enriched Urban Compost and Wastes on Soil Physico-Chemical and Biological Parameters
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The manuscript is good but the results, discussions, conclusion and recommendations are not well documented</p> <p>The good but the study area not specified</p> <p>the abstract of the article is relatively comprehensive but conclusion and recommendations are not left</p> <p>subsections and structure of the manuscript is moderately appropriate</p> <p>More than have of the references are too old</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language/English quality of the article is relatively suitable for scholarly communications</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>In general, there are many remains to be done. a few of them:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. the title should state the location of the study area 2. the introduction should be written in conjunction with the results of another study explaining the importance of this study 3. the abstract should be written with conclusions and recommendations 4. description of the study area should also be clearly defined and written 5. in the results and discussions parts, it is important to know how many lines a paragraph should have on average 6. the reference used are old 7. conclusion and recommendation should also be properly written and explained 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tolera Fikadu
Department, University & Country	Oromia Agricultural Research Institute, Ethiopia