

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_107481
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of pre-sowing seed treatments with Botanical and organics on yield attributing traits and seedling parameters of cluster bean (Cyamopsis tetragonoloba L) variety: RGC1066
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. This manuscript is important for the scientific community in that it explores the use of botanicals and organics that ensure sustainable use of the soil resource in agro-ecosystems. It also explores the best way to reduce chemical contamination of food value chains.</p> <p>2. The title is very long & more or less an introduction for the study; "Growth and Yield Response of Cluster bean to pre-sowing seed treatments with Botanicals and organics"</p> <p>3. The abstract is too long; It needs to be condensed; it might be better to start from; The new approaches to the use of botanicals & organics in farming.....</p> <p>4. The subsections & structure of manuscript is appropriate in that it is, divided into key elements of a manuscript namely; Abstract, Introduction, methodology, Results & Discussion and Conclusion</p> <p>5. Yes, the botanicals & organics used have pesticide & soil enhancing properties that the study tried explore their effect on cluster bean</p> <p>6. Yes, the references are sufficient; they cover all the attributions in the document</p> <p>The write up at the Results & discussion section needs to improved, especially the grammer. For intance, "The Number of pods per plant was found to significant and maximum was observed in T3-panchagavya@10% (81.26) whereas minimum was observed in T0- Control (55.26)." I would expected it to read as follows; the number of pods per plant was found to be significant (P<0.05) &</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	The language is suitable, it just requires minor improvements on the grammar	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	The manuscript is good enough to be published provided the minor corrections are made on the issues raised.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anguria Paul
Department, University & Country	Uganda