

Original Research Article

Evaluation of Morphological Traits in Cowpea [*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.] Genotypes Cultivated in North-East India

ABSTRACT

The present study took place during the kharif season 2022 at the Vegetable Research Farm, located within the College of Horticulture and Forestry at Central Agricultural University in Pasighat, East Siang, Arunachal Pradesh, India to investigate "Evaluation of Morphological Traits in Cowpea [*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.] Genotypes Cultivated in North-East India". These morphological traits demonstrated significant variation among the twenty locally accessible genotypes, including one check variety. Qualitative traits such as growth habit, twining habit, foliage colour, leaflet shape, flower colour, pod shape, pod colour, seed shape, seed colour were recorded. Quantitative characters such as days to 50% flowering, plant height (cm), leaf area (cm²), number of clusters per plant, number of pods per cluster, number of pods per plant, pod length (cm), pod width (cm), days to harvest, number of seeds per pod, 100 seed weight (g) and pod yield per plant (g) were studied. The mean performance revealed that CHF CP-12 gave highest pod yield per plant (589.19, **mention the unit**), highest 100 seed weight in CHF CP-12 (24.67, **mention the unit**), longest pod length in CHF CP-5 (32.77), longest pod width in CHF CP-10 (1.07), maximum number of clusters per plant was recorded in CHF CP-12 (14.16), maximum number of pods per plant in CHF CP-17 (11.80) and maximum leaf area was recorded in CHF CP-6 (229.30). The minimum number days taken for 50% flowering was found in genotypes CHF CP-9 (45.67).

**mention the unit while writing the figures of all quantitative traits inside bracket though it is mentioned previously*

Keywords: Cowpea, genetic diversity, landraces, variation, genotype.

1. INTRODUCTION

Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.) is a versatile and resilient leguminous crop of significant agricultural importance, cultivated for various purposes, including grain pulses, vegetables, and fodder, particularly in regions characterized by humid and semi-arid climates. Its ability to thrive in drought-prone conditions and nitrogen-fixing capabilities make it suitable for growth in nutrient-poor sandy soils [2]. Additionally, as a forage crop, cowpea is known for its rapid growth, high yields, and substantial biomass production [5]. It occupies a substantial portion of agricultural land, with 0.3 million hectares out of a total 0.65 million hectares allocated to various pulse and vegetable cowpea types. Cowpea holds the distinction of being the most vital Kharif fodder and pulse crop, suitable for both irrigated and rainfed areas [4].

Cowpea's nutritional composition is noteworthy, boasting an average content of 16.63% crude protein, 48.15% neutral detergent fiber, 36.10% acid detergent fiber, 28.44% crude fiber, 10.54% ash, and 2.91% ether extract, in addition to 20.45 mg/g of sugar and 32.31 mg/g of starch [3]. Its seeds are a highly nutritious and cost-effective source of protein, serving both human and animal nutrition needs. Cowpea seeds contain approximately 25% protein and 64% carbohydrate, making them an exceptional source of green and dry fodder, particularly rich in lysine and tryptophan amino acids compared to other fodder crops [7].

Northeast India, renowned as one of the world's biodiversity hotspots, encompasses eight states: Arunachal Pradesh, Assam, Manipur, Meghalaya, Mizoram, Nagaland, Sikkim, and Tripura. However, despite its vast geographical expanse, only 12% of the region is available for cultivation due to its predominantly hilly terrain. This geographical challenge is further compounded by significant health issues, including anaemia and child malnutrition. Surprisingly, despite these challenges, the region maintains a pulse yield (848 kg/ha) that surpasses the national average, owing in part to its naturally acidic soils [8].

The primary objective of this experimental study is to uncover valuable genetic variability within cowpea genotypes found in Northeast India. This study places particular emphasis on traits of agronomic significance, especially those related to yield-~~related~~-attributing parameters and nutritional quality. To achieve this goal, we conducted a comprehensive exploration of twenty distinct cowpea

genotypes native to the region, in addition to one reference variety. Our investigation specifically targeted an in-depth assessment of their morphological characteristics. This research is vital for addressing the unique agricultural and nutritional challenges faced by Northeast India and enhancing cowpea's contributions to the region's food security and sustainable agriculture.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

The planting material consisted of twenty native genotypes cultivated in North-East India, along with one varietal check, Kashi Kanchan. The experiment took place at the Vegetable Research Farm, College of Horticulture and Forestry, Central Agricultural University, Pasighat, East Siang, Arunachal Pradesh, India during the 2022-2023 **Khariff** season. The research farm is situated at an altitude of 154 meters above mean sea level, with a latitude of N 28° 04'37.19" and a longitude of E 95° 19' 29.16". The climate in this region is characterized as humid and sub-tropical, with the highest rainfall occurring between June and September. The soil is sandy loam, with a pH level of 6.7 and an organic carbon content of 2.1%. Each genotype was planted in three replications, spaced at 80 cm X 40 cm, following a randomized block design. Qualitative attributes such as growth habit, twining habit, foliage colour, leaflet shape, flower colour, pod shape, pod colour, seed shape, seed colour were recorded. Quantitative characters such as 50% flowering, plant height (cm), leaf area (cm²), number of clusters per plant, number of pods per cluster, number of pods per plant, pod length (cm), pod width (cm), days to harvest, number of seeds per pod, 100 seed weight (g) and pod yield per plant (g) were examined through a random sampling approach. The data collected during the experiment regarding these traits underwent statistical and biometrical analysis based on the variance analysis method presented by Gomez and Gomez (1984). Furthermore, by conducting individual plant observations, the mean value for each trait across all populations was calculated as follows:

$$\bar{Y} = \frac{1}{n} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i \right)$$

Where,

\bar{Y} = Population mean

Y_i = Individual value

n = Number of observations

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Description of the genotypes for qualitative traits

The qualitative morphological characters observed for the twenty genotype along with one check variety were represented in Table 1 and Table 2. The characterization of genotypes for the following qualitative morphological traits were done using cowpea descriptors given by International Board for Plant Genetic Resources (IBPGR), 1983 [1] and guidelines for the conduct of tests for Distinctiveness, Uniformity and Stability in cowpea given by Plants Protection Varieties and Farmer's rights (PPV and FRA), 2001. CHF CP-1, CHF CP-2, CHF CP-3, CHF CP-4, CHF CP-5, CHF CP-6, CHF CP-7, CHF CP-8, CHF CP-9, CHF CP-10, CHF CP-11, CHF CP-12, CHF CP-13, CHF CP-14, CHF CP-15, CHF CP-16, CHF CP-17, CHF CP-18, CHF CP-19, and CHF CP-20 were recorded as pole type and Kashi Kanchan was recorded as bush type. There were no genotypes having erect, semi erect, horizontal growth habit. The twining habit of plants were observed visually and recorded as viny and non-viny. Viny twining habit were observed in CHF CP-1, CHF CP-2, CHF CP-3, CHF CP-4, CHF CP-5, CHF CP-6, CHF CP-7, CHF CP-8, CHF CP-9, CHF CP-10, CHF CP-11, CHF CP-12, CHF CP-13, CHF CP-14, CHF CP-15, CHF CP-16, CHF CP-17, CHF CP-18, CHF CP-19 and CHF CP-20. Whereas non-viny twining habit was observed in Kashi Kanchan. The foliage colour of the plants was observed visually and recorded at 50% flowering as green, light green and dark green. CHF CP-1, CHF CP-5, CHF CP-7, CHF CP-11, CHF CP-13, CHF CP-14, CHF CP-17, CHF CP-19, CHF CP-20, Kashi Kanchan were recorded as green. CHF CP-2, CHF CP-6, CHF CP-15, CHF CP-16 were recorded as light green. CHF CP-3, CHF CP-4, CHF CP-8, CHF CP-9, CHF CP-10, CHF CP-12, CHF CP-18 were recorded as dark green CHF CP-2, CHF CP-7, CHF CP-10, CHF CP-13, CHF CP-15 were recorded as hastate. CHF CP-1, CHF CP-3, CHF CP-4, CHF CP-5, CHF CP-18 were recorded as sub-hastate. CHF CP-6, CHF CP-8, CHF CP-9, CHF CP-11, CHF CP-12, CHF CP-16, CHF CP-17, CHF CP-20 and Kashi Kanchan were recorded as sub-globose. CHF CP-14 and CHF CP-19 were recorded as globose. Purple flower colour was observed in CHF CP-3, CHF CP-6, CHF CP-9, CHF CP-18. Light purple was observed in CHF CP-1, CHF CP-2, CHF CP-4, CHF CP-5, CHF CP-7, CHF CP-8, CHF CP-10, CHF CP-11, CHF CP-12, CHF CP-13, CHF CP-14, CHF CP-15, CHF CP-16, CHF CP-17, CHF CP-19, CHF CP-20 and Kashi Kanchan. The straight pod shape was recorded in CHF CP-7, CHF CP-8, CHF CP-9, CHF CP-12, CHF CP-13, CHF CP-16, CHF CP-17. Whereas curved pod shape was recorded in CHF CP-1, CHF CP-2, CHF CP-3, CHF CP-4, CHF CP-5, CHF CP-6, CHF CP-10, CHF CP-11, CHF CP-14, CHF CP-15, CHF CP-18, CHF CP-19, CHF CP-20 and Kashi Kanchan. CHF CP-1, CHF CP-2, CHF CP-5, CHF CP-7, CHF CP-11, CHF CP-12, CHF CP-14, CHF CP-15, CHF CP-16, CHF CP-17 and CHF CP-18 were observed as green. CHF CP-3, CHF CP-4, CHF CP-6, CHF CP-8, CHF CP-10, CHF CP-13, CHF CP-19, CHF CP-20 and Kashi Kanchan were observed as dark green. CHF CP-9 and CHF CP-18 were observed as purple. CHF CP-2, CHF CP-3, CHF CP-6, CHF CP-18 and CHF CP-20 were recorded as elliptical. CHF CP-1, CHF CP-4, CHF CP-5, CHF CP-7, CHF CP-8, CHF CP-9, CHF CP-10, CHF CP-13 and Kashi Kanchan were recorded as kidney-shaped. CHF CP-11, CHF CP-12, CHF CP-14, CHF CP-15, CHF CP-16, CHF CP-17 and CHF CP-19 were recorded as rhomboid. CHF CP-12 was recorded as white. CHF CP-1, CHF CP-4, CHF CP-5, CHF CP-8, CHF CP-14, CHF CP-15 and CHF CP-16 were recorded as brown. CHF CP-2, CHF CP-3, CHF CP-6, CHF CP-7, CHF CP-11, CHF CP-13, CHF CP-18, CHF CP-19 and Kashi Kanchan were recorded as brick red. CHF CP-9 and CHF CP-20 were recorded as purple. CHF CP-10 and CHF CP-17 were recorded as black.

Evaluation of the genotypes for quantitative traits

The analysis of variance (ANOVA) for twelve characters is given in Table 3. There were highly significant differences among the ~~treatments~~ **genotypes** for all the characters under study, showing wide range of variation in twenty genotypes and one check variety of cowpea. Mean performance of the genotypes for twelve quantitative morphological characters were given in Table 4 and Table 5.

50 % flowering

In the present investigation, the minimum days to 50% flowering ~~were~~ **was** recorded in genotypes Kashi Kanchan (43.67) followed by CHF CP-9 (45.67), CHF CP-11 (45.67) and CHF CP-14 (47.33). The maximum number of days taken for 50% flowering was recorded in CHF CP-6 (66.00) followed by CHF CP-10 (65.70), CHF CP-8 (63.57) and CHF CP-4 (62.50) with a mean performance of 54.74. These findings also agreed with the work conducted by Verma *et al.*[12] and Singh and Bhakta [10].

Plant height (cm)

The shortest plant height ~~were~~ **was** recorded in Kashi Kanchan (37.29) followed by CHF CP-16 (162.50) and CHF CP-1 (173.00). The highest plant height was recorded in CHF CP-8 (201.00) followed by CHF CP-7 (198.00) and CHF CP-6 (194.00) with a mean performance of 177.74. These have similar findings with Kandel *P et al.*[6].

Leaf area (cm²)

The minimum leaf area was recorded in CHF CP-2 (57.51) followed by CHF CP-9 (84.08) with maximum ~~leaf_area~~ leaf area were recorded in CHF CP-6 (229.30) followed by CHF CP-10 (190.22) with a mean performance of 144.57. These leaf areas have similar findings with Arya *et al.*[2].

Number of clusters per plant

The minimum number of clusters per plant was recorded in CHF CP-6 (5.66) followed by CHF CP-8 (6.33) by and CHF CP-4 (6.50). The maximum number of clusters per plant were recorded in CHF CP-12 (14.16) followed by CHF CP-15 (13.37) and CHF CP-1 (12.74) with a mean performance of 9.34. These have similar findings with Terzopoulos *et al.*[11].

Number of pods per cluster

The lowest number of pods per cluster ~~were~~ **was** recorded in CHF CP-3 (1.60) followed by CHF CP-6 (1.85), CHF CP-8 (1.93) and CHF CP-13 (1.93). The highest number of pods per peduncle were recorded in CHF CP-12 (3.20) followed by CHF CP-15 (3.00) and CHF CP-17 (3.00) with a mean performance of 2.42. Similar findings were previously reported by Subedi *S et al.*, (2019).

Number of pods per plant

The minimum number of pods per plant was recorded in CHF CP-6 (10.50) followed by CHF CP-8 (12.24) and CHF CP-3 (12.64). The maximum number of pods per plant were recorded in CHF CP-12 (45.32) followed by CHF CP-15 (40.11) CHF CP-1 (35.66) with a mean performance of 23.14. These findings of the number of pods per plant were in conformity with the work conducted by Subedi *S et al.*[6].

Pod length (cm)

The shortest ~~pod_length~~ **pod length** were recorded in CHF CP-6 (18.00) followed by CHF CP-4 (18.33) and CHF CP-2 (18.83). The longest pod length was observed in CHF CP-5 (32.77) followed by CHF CP-3 (31.70) and Kashi Kanchan (31.37) with a mean performance of 25.54. The finding of these experiments had similar results to Gupta *S et al.*[6]

Pod width (cm)

The shortest pod width was recorded in CHF CP-2 (0.55) followed by CHF CP-3 (0.61) and CHF CP-7 (0.64). The longest pod width was observed in CP-10 (1.07) followed by CHF CHF CP-19 (1.04) and CHF CP-4 (0.99) with a mean performance of 0.78. The findings of these experiments had similar results with Gupta *S et al.* [6].

Days to harvest

The minimum number of days to harvest was recorded in CHF CP-2 (0.55) followed by CHF CP-3 (0.61) and CHF CP-7 (0.64). The highest number of days to harvest was recorded in CHF CP-6 (78.00) followed by CHF CP-10 (77.70) and CHF CP-15 (75.33) with a mean performance of 66.83. The findings of these experiments had similar results to Singh and Bhakta [10].

Number of seeds per pod

The minimum number of pods per plant was recorded in CHF CP-7 (6.60) followed by CHF CP-3 (7.00) and CHF CP-6 (8.00). The highest number of pods per plant was recorded in CHF CP-17 (11.80) followed by CHF CP-14 (11.60) and CHF CP-18 (11.00) CHF CP-1 (11.00) with a mean performance of 9.54. These were in conformity with the work conducted by Singh and Bhakta [10].

100 seeds weight (g)

The lowest 100 seed weight was recorded in CHF CP-13(13.91) followed by CHF CP-6 (16.67), CHF CP-7 (16.67) and Kashi Kanchan (16.67). The highest 100 seed weight was recorded in CHF CP-12 (24.67) followed by CHF CP-17 (23.33) and CHF CP-11 (21.67) with a mean performance of 18.96. Similar findings were recorded by Singh and Bhakta [10].

Pod yield per plant (g)

The lowest pod yield per plant per plant were recorded in CHF CP-6 (96.60) followed by CHF CP-8(122.38) and CHF CP-3 (139.09). The highest pod yield per plant was recorded in CHF CP-12 (589.19) followed by CHF CP-15 (481.32) and CHF CP-16 (395.15) with a mean performance of 266.67. The result found was in conformity with the finding of Verma *et al.*[13].

Table 1. Qualitative morphological characters of 20 genotypes and 1 check variety

Genotype	Growth habit	Twining habit	Foliage colour	Leaflet shape	Flower colour
CHF CP-1	Pole type	Viny	Green	Sub-hastate	Light purple
CHF CP-2	Pole type	Viny	Light green	Hastate	Light purple
CHF CP-3	Pole type	Viny	Dark green	Sub-hastate	Purple
CHF CP-4	Pole type	Viny	Dark green	Sub-hastate	Light purple
CHF CP-5	Pole type	Viny	Green	Sub-hastate	Light purple
CHF CP-6	Pole type	Viny	Light green	Sub-globose	Purple
CHF CP-7	Pole type	Viny	Green	Hastate	Light purple
CHF CP-8	Pole type	Viny	Dark green	Sub-globose	Light purple
CHF CP-9	Pole type	Viny	Dark green	Sub-globose	Purple
CHF CP-10	Pole type	Viny	Dark green	Hastate	Light purple
CHF CP-11	Pole type	Viny	Green	Sub-globose	Light purple
CHF CP-12	Pole type	Viny	Dark green	Sub-globose	Light purple
CHF CP-13	Pole type	Viny	Green	Hastate	Light purple
CHF CP-14	Pole type	Viny	Green	Globose	Light purple
CHF CP-15	Pole type	Viny	Light green	Hastate	Light purple
CHF CP-16	Pole type	Viny	Light green	Sub-globose	Light purple
CHF CP-17	Pole type	Viny	Green	Sub-globose	Light purple
CHF CP-18	Pole type	Viny	Dark green	Sub-hastate	Purple
CHF CP-19	Pole type	Viny	Green	Globose	Light purple
CHF CP-20	Pole type	Viny	Green	Sub-globose	Light purple
Kashi Kanchan	Bush type	Non-viny	Green	Sub-globose	Light purple

- For comparison of the performance of the genotypes with the check, consider the national or local check having same growth habit. Bush type is not suitable for check in this case.
- Qualitative traits can only be described

* Data was not subjected to statistical analysis:

Table 2. Qualitative morphological characters of 20 genotypes and 4 check variety

Genotype	Pod shape	Pod colour	Seed shape	Seed colour
CHF CP-1	<i>Curved</i>	<i>Green</i>	<i>Kidney</i>	<i>Brown</i>
CHF CP-2	<i>Curved</i>	<i>Green</i>	<i>Elliptical</i>	<i>Brick red</i>
CHF CP-3	<i>Curved</i>	<i>Dark green</i>	<i>Elliptical</i>	<i>Brick red</i>
CHF CP-4	<i>Curved</i>	<i>Dark green</i>	<i>Kidney</i>	<i>Brown</i>
CHF CP-5	<i>Curved</i>	<i>Green</i>	<i>Kidney</i>	<i>Brown</i>
CHF CP-6	<i>Curved</i>	<i>Dark green</i>	<i>Elliptical</i>	<i>Brick red</i>
CHF CP-7	<i>Straight</i>	<i>Green</i>	<i>Kidney</i>	<i>Brick red</i>
CHF CP-8	<i>Straight</i>	<i>Dark green</i>	<i>Kidney</i>	<i>Brown</i>
CHF CP-9	<i>Straight</i>	<i>Purple</i>	<i>Kidney</i>	<i>Purple</i>
CHF CP-10	<i>Curved</i>	<i>Dark green</i>	<i>Kidney</i>	<i>Black</i>
CHF CP-11	<i>Curved</i>	<i>Green</i>	<i>Rhomboid</i>	<i>Brick red</i>
CHF CP-12	<i>Straight</i>	<i>Green</i>	<i>Rhomboid</i>	<i>White</i>
CHF CP-13	<i>Straight</i>	<i>Dark green</i>	<i>Kidney</i>	<i>Brick red</i>
CHF CP-14	<i>Curved</i>	<i>Green</i>	<i>Rhomboid</i>	<i>Brown</i>
CHF CP-15	<i>Curved</i>	<i>Green</i>	<i>Rhomboid</i>	<i>Brown</i>
CHF CP-16	<i>Straight</i>	<i>Green</i>	<i>Rhomboid</i>	<i>Brown</i>
CHF CP-17	<i>Straight</i>	<i>Green</i>	<i>Rhomboid</i>	<i>Black</i>
CHF CP-18	<i>Curved</i>	<i>Purple</i>	<i>Elliptical</i>	<i>Brick red</i>
CHF CP-19	<i>Curved</i>	<i>Dark green</i>	<i>Rhomboid</i>	<i>Brick red</i>
CHF CP-20	<i>Curved</i>	<i>Dark green</i>	<i>Elliptical</i>	<i>Purple</i>
Kashi Kanchan	<i>Curved</i>	<i>Dark green</i>	<i>Kidney</i>	<i>Brick red</i>

*Data was not subjected to statistical analysis

Table 3. Analysis of variance for different morphological characters of cowpea

Sl. No.	Source of variation <i>Write character instead of source of variation</i>	Mean sum of square		
		Replication	Genotype	Error
	Degree of freedom	2	20	40
1	Days to 50% flowering	8.44	149.12**	1.64
2	Plant height (cm)	2.65	3411.53**	37.29
3	Leaf area (cm ²)	4.06	5315.41**	20.79
4	Number of clusters per plant	1.32	20.38**	0.46
5	Number of pods per cluster	0.01	0.59**	0.04
6	Number of pods per plant	0.55	272.26**	0.31
7	Pod length (cm)	1.70	55.48**	6.92
8	Pod width (cm)	0.00	0.06**	0.0004
9	Days to harvest	0.31	173.25**	3.40
10	Number of seeds per pod	0.19	6.07**	0.06
11	100 seeds weight (gm)	0.22	19.39**	1.22
12	Pod yield per plant	17.11	42698.26**	51.94

** Significant at 1% level of probability

Table 4. Mean performance of twenty cowpea genotypes and one check variety for quantitative morphological characters of cowpea

Genotype	1	2	3	4	5	6
CHF CP-1	61.15	173.00	165.15	12.74	2.80	35.66
CHF CP-2	51.33	181.00	57.51	9.23	2.27	20.92
CHF CP-3	55.82	188.00	114.84	7.90	1.60	12.64
CHF CP-4	62.50	193.00	137.70	6.50	2.87	18.62
CHF CP-5	53.67	177.00	79.38	10.67	2.20	23.47
CHF CP-6	66.00	194.00	229.30	5.66	1.85	10.50
CHF CP-7	47.63	198.00	184.88	11.10	2.67	29.59
CHF CP-8	63.57	201.00	129.03	6.33	1.93	12.24
CHF CP-9	45.67	183.00	84.08	10.17	2.93	29.83
CHF CP-10	65.70	196.33	190.22	8.10	2.00	16.21
CHF CP-11	45.67	173.33	159.02	6.67	2.27	15.12
CHF CP-12	61.50	187.00	146.63	14.16	3.20	45.32
CHF CP-13	52.27	190.36	90.75	12.27	1.93	23.72
CHF CP-14	47.33	182.63	146.20	7.45	2.33	17.38
CHF CP-15	60.33	192.40	152.01	13.37	3.00	40.11
CHF CP-16	56.67	162.50	138.67	11.00	2.57	28.22
CHF CP-17	57.33	192.93	187.65	7.60	3.00	22.81
CHF CP-18	48.33	183.74	172.92	6.90	2.37	16.33
CHF CP-19	53.67	170.87	166.84	7.68	2.00	15.37
CHF CP-20	49.67	175.08	174.06	8.14	2.40	19.53
Kashi Kanchan	43.67	37.29	129.26	12.47	2.60	32.42
Mean	54.74	177.74	144.57	9.34	2.42	23.14
Min	43.67	37.29	57.51	5.66	1.60	10.50
Max	66.00	201.00	229.30	14.16	3.20	45.32
SEm±	0.74	3.53	2.63	0.39	0.12	0.32
C.V. (%)	2.34	3.44	3.15	7.30	8.21	2.40
CD at 5%	2.12	10.11	7.55	1.13	0.33	0.92

1. Days to 50% flowering 2. Plant height (cm) 3. Leaf area (cm²) 4. Number of clusters per plant 5. Number of pods per cluster 6. Number of pods per plant 7. Pod length (cm) 8. Pod width (cm) 9. Days to harvest 10. Number of seeds per pod 11. 100 seeds weight (g) 12. Pod yield per plant (g).

Table 5. Mean performance of twenty genotypes and one check variety for quantitative morphological characters of cowpea

Genotype	7	8	9	10	11	12
CHF CP-1	30.30	0.67	75.20	11.00	16.87	356.63
CHF CP-2	18.83	0.55	64.33	9.33	18.44	188.29
CHF CP-3	31.70	0.61	65.18	7.00	17.67	139.09
CHF CP-4	18.33	0.99	76.50	10.08	18.00	223.47
CHF CP-5	32.77	0.65	64.67	9.20	17.33	281.60
CHF CP-6	18.00	0.85	78.00	8.00	16.67	96.60
CHF CP-7	25.80	0.64	60.37	6.60	16.67	242.65
CHF CP-8	26.33	0.79	75.43	10.06	19.33	122.38
CHF CP-9	25.67	0.77	56.67	10.04	20.33	328.15
CHF CP-10	24.00	1.07	77.70	9.60	19.33	197.72
CHF CP-11	24.33	0.72	55.67	9.80	21.67	199.57
CHF CP-12	23.34	0.82	70.50	9.60	24.67	589.19
CHF CP-13	24.67	0.87	65.27	9.80	13.91	260.87
CHF CP-14	26.03	0.67	61.33	11.60	18.33	208.60
CHF CP-15	28.10	0.76	75.33	10.00	17.33	481.32
CHF CP-16	25.53	0.72	68.67	10.20	22.33	395.15
CHF CP-17	26.27	0.63	70.33	11.80	23.33	296.53
CHF CP-18	26.67	0.91	58.33	11.00	19.33	228.62
CHF CP-19	29.00	0.95	66.67	7.00	20.33	192.08
CHF CP-20	19.34	1.04	63.67	8.60	19.67	214.81
Kashi Kanchan	31.37	0.75	53.60	10.05	16.67	356.64
Mean	25.54	0.78	66.83	9.54	18.96	266.67
Min	18.00	0.55	53.60	6.60	13.91	96.60
Max	32.77	1.07	78.00	11.80	24.67	589.19
SEm±	1.52	0.01	1.06	0.15	0.64	4.16
C.V. (%)	10.30	2.64	2.76	2.63	5.81	2.70
CD at 5%	4.36	0.03	3.05	0.42	1.83	11.93

1. Days to 50% flowering 2. Plant height (cm) 3. Leaf area (cm²) 4. Number of clusters per plant 5. Number of pods per cluster 6. Number of pods per plant 7. Pod length (cm) 8. Pod width (cm) 9. Days to harvest 10. Number of seeds per pod 11. 100 seeds weight (g) 12. Pod yield per plant (g).

4. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, our study has shed light on the substantial variations in both qualitative and quantitative morphological characteristics among the cowpea genotypes native to Northeast India. These observed traits provide a valuable resource for future breeding programs aimed at enhancing cowpea yield and nutritional quality in the region.

Quantitative morphological traits, such as the number of pods per plant, number of pods per node cluster, and the number of plants, have emerged as significant contributors to yield improvement (without correlation and path analysis it should not be mentioned). Notably, the genotype CHF CP-12 displayed the highest values for cluster per plant, number of pods per cluster, number of pods per

plant, pod length, 100 seed weight, and pod yield per plant. These findings hold promise for harnessing the potential of these genotypes to achieve higher yields in future breeding initiatives.

Furthermore, our investigation unveiled that genotypes CHF CP-11 exhibited the shortest duration for the appearance of the first flower, days to reach 50% flowering, and the minimum days required for harvest. This indicates that these genotypes possess the valuable characteristic of early maturity, making them instrumental in efforts to achieve more efficient and timely crop production.

In summary, the diverse cowpea genotypes found in North-East India offer a wealth of possibilities for crop improvement, addressing the region's unique agricultural challenges, and contributing to food security and sustainability. By harnessing the genetic variability and desirable traits identified in this study, we can pave the way for the development of improved cowpea varieties that will play a crucial role in enhancing agricultural productivity and nutrition in this biodiversity and-geographically challenging region.

REFERENCES

1. Anonymous. Cowpea descriptors. AGPG: IBPGR 1983; 82(80):14-23
2. Arya RK, Panchta R, and Vu NN. Morphological characterization of cowpea genotypes and its utility for DUS testing. Range Management and Agroforestry. 2021;42(1):49-58.
3. Harveen, K., M. Goyal and D. P. Singh. Comparative evaluation of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L.) genotypes for nutritional quality and antioxidant potential. Range Management and Agroforestry 2018; 39: 260-268.
4. IGFRI Vision. IGFRI Perspective Plan 2011. Indian Grassland and Fodder Research Institute, Jhansi, India 2050.
5. Jindal, Y., R. Yadav and D. S. Phogat. Principal component analysis and determination of the selection criteria in fodder cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L) Walp.) genotypes. Range Management and Agroforestry 2018; 39:191-196.
6. Kandel P, Sharma P, Subedi S, Gupta S, Bhattarai and Basent M. Germplasm Evaluation of Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.) in Dang District, JOJ Wildlife & Biodiversity, Juniper Publishers Inc. 2019;1(5):113-118.
7. Kumar, S., D. Phogat and N. Bhusal. Characterization of elite forage cowpea genotypes for various DUS traits. Forage Research 2015; 40: 232- 236.
8. Mishra, R., Lal, N., & Ramakrishna, Y. Significance and strategies of legume production for achieving nutritional security in North East Indian Himalayan Region. Journal of Food Legumes 2017; 30(3), 56-63.
9. Nguyen Ngoc Vu, R. K. Arya and R. Panchta. Studies on genetic parameters, correlation and path coefficient analysis in cowpea. Range Management and Agroforestry 2019; 40:49-58.
10. Singh, A. and Bhakta, N. Diversity Analysis of Faba Bean (*Vicia Faba* L.) Germplasm of Bihar Using Agro-Morphological Characteristics. Bangladesh Journal of Botany 2017; 46(4): 1249-1257.
11. Terzopoulos P.J., Kaltsikes P.J. and Bebeli P.J. Characterization of Greek populations of faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.) and their evaluation using a new parameter. Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution. 2004 Sep;51:655-62.
12. Verma, R. K., Yadav, C. B. and Gautam, S. C.. Faba Bean (*Vicia faba* L.) germplasm evaluation and genetic divergence analysis. Journal of AgriSearch 2015; 2(2): 112-118.
13. Verma A.K., Mehta A. K., Sharma D. and Singh R.P. Genetic analysis of pod yield and its contributing traits in cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L. Walp.). International Journal of Chemical Studies. 2020;8(1):965-70.