

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_107647
Title of the Manuscript:	PHARMACOGNOSTIC CHARACTERISTICS OF SIMAROUBA GLAUCA DC BARK
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The authors present a paper entitled" PHARMACOGNOSTIC CHARACTERISTICS OF SIMAROUBA GLAUCA DC BARK"; the manuscript needs much revision.</p> <p>1. Please revisit the title of your paper because it should be clearly indicating the intent of the work done. Your current title does not fit to the manuscript.</p> <p>2. Abstract can be more elaborative utilizing the full limit given for abstract.</p> <p>3. The abstract should be re-written accordingly (lack of real methods, result and conclusions corresponding with the aims.</p> <p>4. Follow the standard format for the article: Introduction, Material and Methods, Results and Discussion.</p> <p>5. Statistical Analysis should be one of the headings in material and methods section.</p> <p>6. It would be interesting if the authors add the standard section about Advances in Knowledge (how the paper contributes to this.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shahindokht Bassiri-Jahromi
Department, University & Country	Pasteur Institute of Iran, Iran