

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_ARJASS_107286 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | How Demographic Factors Affecting Acceptability of COVID 19 Vaccination: Experience from Community Members in Iringa Municipality |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p> | <p>1. Yes, It is. Demographic factors such as elderly people, men, people with low education and entrepreneurs have an influence on public acceptance of the Covid-19 vaccine. In fact, administering vaccines has a big influence on the success of handling the Covid-19 pandemic. For this reason, it is necessary to carry out further studies regarding the influence of these factors in receiving the Covid-19 vaccine.</p> <p>2. Title Recommendation: The Influence of Demographic Factors on Acceptance of COVID 19 Vaccination: Community Experiences in Iringa City</p> <p>3. It is necessary to add demographic parameters studied including age, gender, education level and occupation.</p> <p>4. The subsections and structure are appropriate, but for results and discussion graphs need to be added to make it easier for readers to compare research results</p> <p>5. Yes, I do</p> <p>6. Yes, the references is sufficient and recent</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | Yes, the language/ English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communication | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p> | <p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> | |

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Martina Kurnia Rohmah |
| Department, University & Country | Universitas Anwar Medika, Indonesia |