

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Food Research and Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFRN_105284
Title of the Manuscript:	A REVIEW OF FOOD DECORATION TECHNIQUES
Type of the Article	Review Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1- The manuscript was written on a general topic and there is nothing new in it</p> <p>2- Yes, appropriate to the content of the manuscript</p> <p>3- Yes</p> <p>4- It is suitable for publication, but it needs additions, for example, the food provided, what is its health effect on the person, he may have a chronic disease, and what was given to him may harm the human health Therefore, I suggest that health guidelines be added about the food provided</p> <p>5- This manuscript is suitable for publication with many modifications. The health effect and the extent of the effect of carbohydrates and fats should be added, both according to their type.</p> <p>6- At least the scientific references are for the past five years.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The quality of the article in terms of language is good</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>From a scientific point of view, this manuscript is somewhat acceptable and needs more scientific discussions supported by modern scientific sources. The most important is to add the health effect as well as the effect of the permeability of the nutrient and the validity of its use</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Hazim Saad Jabbar Al-Maliki</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>College of Dentistry, University of Basrah, Iraq</b>