

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_107453
Title of the Manuscript:	SUPPORT AND BARRIER OF ONLINE OCCUPATIONAL THERAPY EDUCATION DURING COVID-19: A CROSS SECTIONAL STUDY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>This article is about medical education in the era of covid and the limitations related to it. According to the studied society, the results of this study will be most important for the studied country and similar societies.</p> <p>The title of the article is appropriate. Of course, it is better to include the name of the country in the title of the article</p> <p>The summary and other parts of the article are correct and scientific, and the number of references is sufficient and up-to-date</p> <p>In the materials and methods section, it is necessary to write the results of the statistical tests performed to confirm the reliability and validity of the questionnaire. Also, the name of the statistical tests performed and the significance level of those tests for the results should be mentioned.</p> <p>It is more appropriate to use the word diagram instead of the word figure for the titles of the diagrams.</p> <p>In the results section, it is written that 67.9 of the participants used personal devices, while 61.6 of the participants answered no to using borrowed devices. How can this difference between the two mentioned percentages be explained? Reference number 13 and 14 are not seen in the text.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes, the language quality is good	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Foad Iranmanesh
Department, University & Country	Rafsanjan University of Medical Sciences, Iran