

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJESS_107267</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>DUAL-EXCEPTIONALITY AMONG STUDENTS: A REVIEW OF THE CRUCIAL BUT HIDDEN PHENOMENON</b>
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> Yes, it is.</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> Yes, it is</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> No, it is not. The abstract should clearly state the contribution of this study and the research gaps it addresses. It will provide further details on the methodology used, including data analysis methods. Describing an appropriate theoretical framework to frame the research will improve the manuscript.</li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> No, they are not. They should include an introduction, methods, results and discussion, and conclusion.</li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> The presentation must be encourage created to clearly set up the rationale/importance of the modern activity and clearly state the issue and destinations of the investigate; these must relate particularly to the questions and issues included. The presentation would advantage from a brief portrayal of the manuscript's subject and more prominent accentuation on the issue the consider addresses. The importance of investigate too has to be expressed more clearly and, in more profundity, and maybe an illustration ought to be given here. The discourse will provide an in-depth investigation of the subjects chosen for consideration within the original copy, and the conclusion will be extended to incorporate any interesting commitments to the manuscript and any restrictions distinguished in draft. learn. This segment ought to briefly incorporate all the most discoveries of the study, as well as the perceptions and their relationship to the comes about. Both within the talk and within the conclusion, it is imperative to portray the association between the inquire about comes about and the modern information found.</li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> A broader basic diagram of the foremost later writing would contribute altogether to the quality of the composition and fortify its academic point of view and logical establishment, counting its hypothetical think about. In general, the writing survey ought to address investigate questions and focuses of intrigued. To upgrade the significance and esteem of your work, be beyond any doubt to incorporate later citations to articles in related areas distributed between 2020 and 2023. Documentation will offer assistance fathom Resolve questions related to the substance of the original copy. Make strides record survey by counting later records and distinguishing and utilizing archive substance significant to your work.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<p>Yes, it is.</p>	

## Review Form 1.7

<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The most focuses of the paper ought to be summarized and explained to the peruser within the conclusion of the term paper. In show disdain toward of the truth that conclusions usually don't give any modern data past what was given in an editorial, they often rebut questions or provide a fresh viewpoint on this issue. The most focuses of the paper ought to be summarized and clarified to the peruser within the conclusion of the term paper. Indeed when conclusions are not expecting to present modern data which has not been detailed within the article, they do frequently recast issues or give an completely modern see of a subject.	
----------------------------------	--	--

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Arita Marini</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universitas Negeri, Indonesia</b>