

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_107753
Title of the Manuscript:	Using NLP to enhance Supply Chain Management Systems
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1- No, the paper has nothing to add and nothing new</p> <p>2- No, very general</p> <p>3- No, the abstract should have a clear intro., objective, methodology, findings and conclusion</p> <p>4- The paper structure is missing totally</p> <p>5- No</p> <p>6- No, as the author stated in the abstract it's a review paper. So, should contain at least 35 <u>RECENT</u> references</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	No, need to improve it	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Abstract is not complete - Keywords are too many - Introduction is too short and doesn't cover the research scope - The Methodology is not clear at all - There are no models are applied as stated in Fig. 1 - Subtopics are not connected - Findings are not clear - Results not validated - Discussion is only 1 paragraph; the author must clarify and explain in detail using figures and charts what he found - Most of the references are not up to date. Moreover, the intext references are not in correct sequence and not in the same format. - If, it is a review paper, then author need to add at least 35 current, reliable and related references 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yousef Abubaker El-Ebiary
Department, University & Country	UniSZA, Malaysia