

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_108093
Title of the Manuscript:	Response of Nitrogen and Phosphorus levels on Growth and Qualitative Characteristics of Sesame (Sesamum indicum L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. This article is very important in scientific community as it seeks to establish optimal levels of Nitrogen and Phosphorus which are key elements in sesame growth and quality parameters.</p> <p>2. The response variable is the sesame growth and quality parameters and not the N2 or P2O5 Suggestion: Response to Nitrogen and Phosphorus levels on Growth and Qualitative Characteristics of Sesame (<i>Sesamum indicum</i> L.)</p> <p>3. Yes very. Few additions like to define what B:C ratio is, Also the experimental design used is not clear. Is it a CRD or RCBD? P values needs to be defined to show appreciation of statistics.</p> <p>4. They are ok.</p> <p>5. The manuscript needs minor improvements e.g om materials and methods, design need to be revisited. Also to indicate that the 30 and 60 are days after planting/sowing. On results there is need to separate means using LSD and showing differences by different letters.example was done in the manuscript.</p> <p>6. The referencing system should be revisited as a system/ style should be followed e.g Chicago or Harvard. They are a mixed bag.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language is good in terms of scientific writing</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The is a good experiment that can be interpolated to other areas of the world as the crop is of paramount importance.due to climate change.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chagonda Ignitius
Department, University & Country	Midlands State University, Zimbabwe