

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_107310
Title of the Manuscript:	Studies on Integrated Nutrient Management on Yield And Quality Characters of Elephant Foot Yam (<i>Amorphophallus paeonifolius</i> (Dennst.) Nicolsan) cv. Gajendra
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes. It is important with reference to the food security.</p> <p>2. No. It can be more coherent, 'Effect of Integrated Nutrient Management on Yield And Quality Characters of Elephant Foot Yam (<i>Amorphophallus paeonifolius</i> (Dennst.) Nicolsan) cv. Gajendra</p> <p>3. Yes</p> <p>4. Yes</p> <p>5. Yes</p> <p>6. No. References are numbered randomly moreover, the references are not in standard format.</p> <p>Introduction section is not articulate and no review of literature is given in this section.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Generally, the manuscript is not written scientifically. In result and discussion section, results are not corelated with literature. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sadia Sultana
Department, University & Country	University of Agriculture, Pakistan