

Original Research Article

Effect of potash application on yield and quality of Kinnow mandarin in sandy loam soils of north western zone in Haryana

ABSTRACT

The present investigation was carried out at CCS HAU, Hisar (Haryana) during 2017-2021 on sandy loam soils to investigate the effect of soil and foliar applied potassium on Kinnow productivity and quality. There were five treatments viz T₁- 0.0g MOP/plant (control), T₂- soil application of 175g MOP/plant (RDK), T₃- soil application of 250.0g MOP/plant, T₄- soil application of 325.0g MOP/ plant and T₅-soil application of 175g MOP/plant (RDK) followed by foliar application of KNO₃@2.0% in the end of May June and July laid out in RBD with four replications. The data showed that treatment with soil application of 325.0g MOP/plant produced highest yield and yield parameters (averagefruit weight, reduces fruit drop) followed by soil application of 175.0g MOP/plant + foliar sprays of KNO₃@2.0% in the end of May, June and July with leaf N/K ratio of 2.18-2.27 over control and recommended dose of K. The later treatments also markedly improved the quality in respect of TSS/acid ratio and ascorbic acid content without influencing the juice and peel thickness. Over all, either soil application of 325.0g MOP/plant or soil application of 175.0g MOP/plant + foliar sprays of KNO₃@ 2.0% in the end of May, June and July along with recommended dose of N and P is suggested to improve the productivity and fruit quality in Kinnow in sandy loam soils of north western zonein Haryana.

Key words: Kinnow, potash, soil &foliar application, yield, quality.

1. INTRODUCTION

Citrus is an economically important fruit crops of India. Among citrus fruits mandarin comprises the fruits group that is more preferred since they can be easily peeled in fresh consumption. Kinnow, a mandarin hybrid, suitable for both transportation and storage with very sweet and flavourful nature and high appearance to consumers is gaining importance in citrus growing areas and dominates in the north western state like Punjab, Haryana, Delhi, Rajasthan and H.P. In Haryana states it occupies more than 40% of the total fruit area and having great potential for export to gulf countries. However, Kinnow production also suffers from low productivity with poor plant health and varying fruit external and internal quality with variable sizes of fruits which dramatically reduces the profitability of the produce. The fact that consumers' demand for large fruit leads to an increase in price and fruit size is as important as yield (1). Therefore, a great need to develop effective approaches to improve citrus yield and quality.

All the living plants require 16 minerals nutrients for optimal function and growth (2,3). Potassium regulates the osmotic potential of plant cell and activates enzymes that are involved in respiration and photosynthesis (2). When K is deficient in citrus trees, the rates of

Comment [A1]: The statistical model should be mentioned

Comment [A2]: Referencing should be in accordance with the format. All references must be new. (2015-2023)

photosynthesis reduces and results in a negative effect of fruit set, yield and quality (4). Potassium content does not usually affect tree growth over a wide range of variation, unless it falls below 0.4% (5). Since yield is positively correlated with tree size it is essential to have adequate content of K in the tree. The K content in the leaves decreases throughout the season and fruit load can enhance this decrease as a result of K uptake by the fruits. Alternate bearing can change the K contents of various organs (6) and K imbalance between years can increase the fluctuation. The juice is a strong sink for K, which occurs there mainly in the form of soluble potash salts of organic acids. Potassium is most important for external aspect of fruit quality. Low level of potassium results in small fruits which are rejected by fresh and export market in spite of thin rind and good colour (7). Citrus trees generally do not show visible deficiency symptoms across a wide range of K status in leaves. However, fruit quality is quite sensitive to varying level of K availability. Therefore, it is essential to apply potassium fertilizers to replace the K removal by the fruits, to improve fruit quality and to maintain soil productivity. Potassium fertilizer application increased citrus fruit partial quality parameters (8).

Fruit yield and quality can also be affected inconsistently by different form of K and its application. Therefore, the application methods and form of K fertilizers should be further explored according to weather conditions, variety, consumption, and demand in practice. It has been found that foliar application of K after flowering increased fruit size and yield of citrus (9). On the other hand, unscientific fertilization, low fruits external and internal quality is the major obstacle to the development of the citrus industry in India. Hence a balanced nutrition has been paid attention in agricultural areas over the years and will continue to do so in the year ahead not because of rising concern about newly emerging problems related to soils health, but because a growing emphasis on high quality production. In the present investigation the Kinnow orchard was selected which is bearing variable sizes fruits and having the soil available K 500-560 kg/ha during the year 2002 and presently 357 kg/ha even with the supplement of 175 g MOP/plant annually. This shows that K application was not supplemented as per the removal by citrus plants. Hence, the study was conducted with the objective to investigate the effect of foliar and soil application of K doses on yield, quality and leaf nutrients content in Kinnow mandarin.

Comment [A3]: Add two references from the research of other researchers related to experimental treatments

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1 Study area

The present investigation was carried out in the experimental orchard of the department of Horticulture, CCSHAU, Hisar during the successive four years (2018-19 to 2021-22) on seven years old Kinnow plants spaced at 6 x 6 m and budded on rough lemon rootstock. The climate of the region is subtropical semi arid irrigated with average rainfall of 450 mm annually and most of the rainfall takes place during monsoon season (July to September) with occasional rainfall during winter season due to western disturbances. The summers and winter are extremes with maximum temperature about 45°C during summer and occasional frost

Comment [A4]: semi-arid

during winter season. The soil of the experimental site is sandy loam with OC (0.32%), pH (7.92), EC (0.37%) and available N,P,K (125.50, 11.30 & 357.0 Kg/ha, respectively) table-1.

Comment [AJ5]: If these results are presented in the table, there is no need to explain them in the text. Only refer to the table number. Rewrite the sentence

Comment [AJ6]: Table 1

2.2 Treatment detail

The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with four replications (one plant per replication) by taking uniform plants having similar shape, size and health and kept under uniform cultural practices as per package of practices for fruit crops, CCSHAU, Hisar. There were five treatments comprising of T₁: 0.0 MOP g/plant (control); T₂: Soil application 175.0 g MOP/plant (RDK); T₃: Soil application 250.0 g MOP/plant; T₄: Soil application 325.0 g MOP/plant and T₅: Soil application of 175.0g MOP/ plant and foliar application of KNO₃ @ 2% at the end of May, June and July. Soil application of uniform doses i.e. N (800g/plant) and P₂O₅ (450g/plant) was given to all the plants under study as per farmer practices. Full doses of P and K were applied in the month of January and N was applied in two splits i.e. half dose in January and remaining half in April. Nitrogen was applied in the form of urea and phosphorus as DAP.

Comment [AJ7]: The amount of fertilizer consumption should be expressed per unit area

2.3 Data collection and analysis

Data for yield was collected in the last week of December every year. Pre harvest fruit drop was measured by counting the number of dropped fruit per plant from September till harvesting and expressed as percent fruit drop per replication. Similarly number of fruits per plant were counted and expressed as number of fruits/plant and averaged. Yield (Kg/plant) was calculated by multiplying the number of fruits for each plant to the average fruit weight of that respective plant. 12 representative fruits per plant from all direction and position were harvested, and average fruit weight was taken by weighing on electronic balance and averaged for each replication. Six fruits selected from 12 fruits/plant for measuring fruit weight, were further used for analysing quality parameters. Peel thickness was measured at the equator of the each fruit with the help of vernier calliper and averaged. Juice of the fruits was extracted with the help of citrus juice extractor and expressed as percentage on weight basis. Similarly TSS content was measured with the help of hand refractometer. Acidity and ascorbic acid was measured with the methods of AOAC (10).

Comment [AJ8]: Similarly,

Comment [AJ9]: each

Comment [AJ10]: Similarly,

Comment [AJ11]: were

Comment [AJ12]: non-fruiting

For estimating the leaf nutrients content about 5-7 months old leaves were collected from plants at chest height from non fruiting shoot in the mid of September and prepared for estimation of N,P,K contents by cleaning with cotton and washing with 0.1% HCl solution and consequently with distilled water twice and dried in the oven at 68^oC till constant weight. The well ground samples were digested with diacid H₂SO₄:HClO₄ (4:1). Leaf nitrogen content was estimated with Nessler's reagent method, phosphorus with Vanadomolybdo yellow colour method as described by Jackson (11). Potassium content was estimated by Flame photometer as described by Piper (12).

2.4 Statistical Analysis

The recorded data for four year was pooled, compiled and subjected to statistical analysis by using OPSTAT software (13)

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Yield and yield parameters

All yield parameters influenced significantly with various treatments (Table-2). A clear trend was observed in increasing number of fruits/plant, yield (kg/plant) average fruit weight and decrease in pre harvest fruit drop as result of potash application. The highest yield in respect of number of fruits/plant (461.3), fruit yield (71.47kg/plant) was found in treatment T₄ which was at par with treatment T₅ (68.48kg/plant) and significantly superior to T₃, T₂ and T₁. The minimum yield (316.19 fruits/plant) and (43.79 kg/plant) were recorded in treatment T₁ which was at par with T₂ (RDF), whereas, T₃ was found significantly superior to T₁, and at par with T₅. Pre harvest fruit drop reduced significantly with application of K fertilizer. However, the minimum fruit drop 16.29 % was recorded in treatment T₄ followed by T₅, T₃ and T₂. Maximum fruit drop 29.85% was found in T₁ (control) followed by T₂ (22.06%). All treatments increased the average fruit weight significantly over T₁ (control). However, the maximum (154.98g) was recorded in treatment T₄ closely followed by T₃ (154.37g) and T₅ (150.04g). Soil K application treatment T₄, in general resulted in 63.21% and 41.28% higher yield over control (T₁) and T₂ (RDF). Similarly 45.13% and 26.15% lower fruit drop and 14.69% and 6.56% more fruit weight over control (T₁) and T₂ (RDF). Higher yield and yield parameters in potassium treated trees may be the result of high vigour/ canopy volume due to higher vital physiological processes and ultimately more canopy volume bearing more number of fruits per tree that were retained up to maturity and improved fruit weight and finally the yield. The importance of tree vigour in light interception and its correlation with the synthesis of photosynthesis and finally with yield have been well documented by Duncan (14). Kumar et al (15) observed relationship between canopy volume and fruit yield and further, fruit yield and physiological processes and leaf chlorophyll content in Kinnow with potassium application.

3.2 Quality parameters

Fruit quality in respect of juice content, peel thickness was non significant, whereas, TSS, acidity, TSS: acidity, ascorbic acid differed significantly with soil and foliar application of K (table-3). Juice content increased slightly with every increase in soil application and foliar spray of K, but could not reach to the level of significance. Maximum juice content (42.56%) was noticed in treatment T₄ and minimum (41.50%) in T₁ (control). Peel thickness varies between 3.18 - 3.47mm and in general there was little increase in peel thickness with soil application of K. However, the minimum value 3.18mm was noticed in treatment T₂ and maximum (3.47mm) in treatment T₄. There was gradual increase in TSS content with the increase in doses of soil potassium application. Higher TSS content was noted in T₄ (9.61%), statistically at par with T₅ (9.52%), T₃ (9.32%) and significant over T₂ and T₁. Significantly lower value was observed in T₁ (8.89%). All treatments were found significantly effective in reducing the acidity content over control, whereas, foliar substitution of K application in T₅ was significantly most effective in reducing the acidity over T₂ (RDF) and T₄ and all the soil K application treatments T₂, T₃ and T₄ were at par with each other. Maximum acidity (1.16%) was recorded in T₁ and Minimum (0.98%) in T₅. The TSS: acidity ratio of juice was noted highest in treatment T₅ (9.70) which were statistically at par with T₃ and T₄ and lowest value (7.90%) was noticed in control (T₁). In all treatments where K was applied as soil were at par. Regarding ascorbic acid content there was gradual increase in ascorbic acid with the application of K. However, the maximum (27.52mg/100ml of juice) was noticed in treatment T₅ which was significantly higher over other treatments. All the soil applied potassium, T₂,

Comment [AJ13]: Mention scientific reasons for the obtained results. to be compared with the results of other researchers.

The interpretation provided is not enough.

Comment [AJ14]: non-significant

T₃, T₄ were also showed statistically higher ascorbic acid over control. T₃ and T₄ were also at par with each other.

It is therefore clear that soil K application markedly improved the fruit quality of Kinnow in respect of higher TSS, TSS: acid ratio, ascorbic acid and reducing acidity over control, whereas, foliar applied K most influenced the quality parameters. These results may be attributed to higher production of carbohydrates and their translocation to fruits in K treated fruits as reported by Alye et al(16).Ashraf et al (17);Marschner (18);Desai et al(19) also reported higher TSS, TSS: acid ratio due to potassium fertilization in Kinnow over non potassium fertilization. Further, ascorbic acid content in fruit is a production of enzymatically governed oxidation – reduction conversions. Several such enzymes responsible for these redox reaction used potash for their activation as suggested by Fench et al(20). Hence ascorbic acid content was more in K treated fruit plants over control. In the present investigation the improved fruit quality may therefore be assumed as the result of K application Tohidloo et al(21)

3.3 Leaf macro nutrients

Leaf N and P content could not be affected significantly with various treatments (Table-4). However, N and P contents in general improved slightly and this may be due to better vigour and health of the plant with K application and hence more uptake of N and P assumed to be increased. Leaf K content increased significantly with application of soil and foliar potash application over control. However, the maximum K content (1.11%) was estimated in treatment T₄ which was at par with T₅ and significant over control and T₂ (RDF). The lowest value 0.78% was estimated in control (T₁). Leaf N/K ratio decreased significantly in various treatments over control. However, the maximum N/K ratio (2.96) was calculated in control (T₁) and minimum (2.18) in T₄ which was at par with T₅ and T₃ which were further significantly lower to T₂ (RDF). Hence, it may be inferred that the appropriate N/K ratio in Kinnow should be in the range of 2.18-2.27 for optimum yield and good quality fruits.

In the present study importance of K fertilization in Kinnow mandarin was recognised in north western irrigated ecosystem of Haryana which is rated high in available K. It was hypothesized that a temporary K deficiency may be formed due to higher evapo-transpirational rate of the plant during peak summer which further coincides with major fruit and root growth stages in Kinnow. Consequently, the uptake of K from available pool may exceed the rate of its replenishment from the fixed pool in the soil. This may influence K uptake and subsequently, the vital physio-biochemical processes and finally yield, quality and health of Kinnow plant as evident from the present investigation due to low leaf K content and high N/K ratio in control T₁ and T₂ (RDF).

4. Conclusion

In citrus orchard having sandy loam texture, pH (7.92), EC (0.37ds/m), OC (0.32%), available N (125.50 kg/ha), available P (11.30 kg/ha) and available K (357.00 kg/ha), soil application of 325.0g MOP/plant showed highest fruit yield (71.47kg/plant) followed by soil application of 175.0g MOP/plant + foliar sprays of KNO₃@ 2.0% at the end of May, June and July (65.48kg/plant) and soil application of 250.0g MOP/plant (60.36 kg/plant) over control (43.79kg/plant) and RDF (50.55kg/plant) with increased fruit weight without much influencing the fruit quality in respect of juice content, peel thickness. However, significantly

Comment [AJ15]: Mention scientific reasons for the obtained results.

Comment [AJ16]: Mention scientific reasons for the obtained results.

lowest acidity 0.98% and highest TSS: acidity ratio 9.70 was observed with soil application of 175.0g MOP/plant + foliar application of KNO₃@ 2.0% at the end of May, June and July.

Table 1: Initial soil properties of the experimental orchards

Properties (0-30cm depth)	Values
Texture	Sandy loam
pH	7.92
EC (ds/m)	0.37
OC (%)	0.32
Available Nitrogen (kg/ha)	125.50
Available Phosphorus (Kg/ha)	11.30
Available Potassium (Kg/ha)	357.00

Table 2: Effect of soil and foliar application of potassium on fruit drop and yield of Kinnow mandarin (pooled mean data of four years)

Treatments	No. of fruits/plant	Av. Fruit wt. (g)	Pre harvest fruit drop(%)	Yield (kg/ plant)
T ₁ : 0.0 MOP g/plant (control)	316.19	135.13	29.85	43.79
T ₂ : 175.0 g MOP/plant (RDK)*	355.75	145.44	22.06	50.55
T ₃ : 250.0 g MOP/plant	387.69	154.37	18.86	59.84
T ₄ : 325.0 g MOP/plant	461.37	154.98	16.29	71.47
T ₅ : RDK + foliar application of KNO ₃ @ 2% at the end of May, June and July	433.53	150.04	17.46	65.48
C.D. (p=0.05%)	44.93	8.70	2.73	11.13

*RDK- Recommended dose of Potash

Tables 3: Effect of soil and foliar application of potassium on fruit quality of Kinnow mandarin (pooled mean data of four years)

Treatments	Juice content (%)	Peel thickness (mm)	TSS (%)	Acidity (%)	TSS/ acidity	Ascorbic acid (mg/100ml of juice)
T ₁ : 0.0 MOP g/plant (control)	41.50	3.25	8.89	1.16	7.90	23.24
T ₂ : 175.0 g MOP/plant (RDK)*	41.97	3.18	9.13	1.05	8.94	24.46
T ₃ : 250.0 g MOP/plant	42.35	3.32	9.32	1.00	9.43	24.67
T ₄ : 325.0 g MOP/plant	42.56	3.47	9.61	1.06	9.19	25.84
T ₅ : RDK + foliar application of KNO ₃ @ 2% at the end of May, June and July	42.11	3.21	9.51	0.98	9.70	27.52
C.D. (p=0.05%)	NS	NS	0.43	0.06	0.62	1.13

* RDK- Recommended dose of Potash

Table 4: Effect of soil and foliar application of potassium on leaf nutrients content of Kinnow mandarin (pooled mean data of four years)

Treatments	N (%)	P (%)	K (%)	N/K
T ₁ : 0.0 MOP g/plant (control)	2.32	0.12	0.78	2.96
T ₂ : 175.0 g MOP/plant (RDK)*	2.40	0.13	0.89	2.70
T ₃ : 250.0 g MOP/plant	2.43	0.14	1.07	2.27
T ₄ : 325.0 g MOP/plant	2.43	0.14	1.11	2.18
T ₅ : RDK + foliar application of KNO ₃ @ 2% at the end of May, June and July	2.42	0.14	1.06	2.24

CD (p=0.05%)	NS	NS	0.10	0.14
--------------	----	----	------	------

* RDK- Recommended dose of Potash

REFERENCES:

Comment [AJ17]: update

1. Guardiola JL, Garcia-Luis A. Increasing fruit size in citrus thinning and stimulation of fruit growth. *Plant Growth Regulation*. 2000; 31(1): 121-132.
2. Taiz T, Zeiger E, Moller IM, Murphy A. *Plant Physiology and Development*. 2018; Sixth Oxford University Press, New York.
3. Raath P. Handbook for fertilization of citrus in South Africa, Citrus Research International, Mbombela. 2021.
4. Gravina A, Gambetta G, Rivas F. Nutrient-Hormone interactions in citrus: Physiological implication. In: Srivastava AK. (ed.). *Advances in citrus nutrition*. 2012; Springer, Dordrecht, pp 303-322
5. Rees RL, Koo RCJ. N and K fertilization effects on leaf analysis, tree size and yield of three major foliar orange cultivars. *Journal of the American society of Horticultural Science*. 1975; 100: 195-198
6. Dalal RPS, Vijay, Saini H, Beniwal BS, Singh R. Bearing behaviour and correlation of fruit development stages with weather parameters in Kinnow mandarin under semi arid irrigated conditions. *Indian Journal of Horticulture*. 2018; 75(4): 591-596.
7. Erner Y, Artzi B, Tgari E, Hamou M. Potassium affects citrus tree performance. *The Volcani Centre. Institute of Horticulture, Department of Fruit Trees*. 2005; 405-414
8. Ashkevari A, Hossein Z, Miransari M. Potassium fertilization and fruit production of page citrus on a punsirus rootstock: Quantitative and qualitative traits. *J. Plant Nutr*. 2010; 33: 1564-1578.
9. Vijay V, Dalal RPS, Beniwal BS, Saini H. Effect of foliar application of potassium and its spray schedule on yield and yield parameters of sweet orange (*Citrus sinensis* Osbeck) cv. Jaffa. *Journal of Applied and Natural Sciences*. 2017; 9(2): 786-790
10. AOAC. Official Methods of Analysis. Association of Analytical Chemists. Maryland, Washington, DC. 2000.
11. Jackson ML. Soil Chemical Analysis. 1967; Prentice Hall, New Delhi, India
12. Piper CS. Soil and Plant Analysis. 1966; Hans Publication, Bombay, 368p.
13. Sheoran OP, Tonk DS, Kaushik LS, Hasija RC, Pannu RS. Statistical Software Package for Agricultural Research Workers, Recent Advances in Information theory, statistics and computer application by Hooda DS and Hasija RC. 1998; Department of Mathematics and Statistics, CCSHAU, Hisar, 139-143.
14. Duncan WG. Leaf angle, leaf area and canopy photosynthesis. *Crop Science*. 1971; 11: 482-85.
15. Kumar A, Singh H, Pathania S. Potassium fertigation improved growth, yield and quality of Kinnow on potassium rich soils. *Communication in Soil Science and Plant Analysis*. 2022; DOI: 10.1080/00103624.2022.2063321
16. Aly OA, El-Salh AM, Abdel Galil HA, El-Masry SM. (2011). Effect of different potassium fertilizer sources and anti oxidants application on vegetative growth, nutrieni status and fruiting of Balady mandarin trees. *Assiut Journal of Agriculture science*. 2011; 42: 317-31.
17. Ashraf MY, Gul A, Ashraf M, Hussain F, Ebert G. (2010). Improvement in yield and quality of kinnow (*Citrus nobilis* x *C. Deliciosa*) by potassium fertilization. *J. Plant Nutr*. 2010; 33: 1625-1637

18. Marschner P. Rhizosphere biology, Marchner's mineral nutrition of higher plants.2021; 672. New York: Academic Press.
19. Desai S, Hasan MA, Sonwane A, Rajurkar GB, Singh D. Effect of different level of drip irrigation and potassium fertilizer on fruit yield and quality of Kinnow, (*Citrus reticulata* Blanco). International Agricultural Engineering Journal,2014;23:50-56
20. Fench M, Amaya I, Valpuesta V. Butella MA. Vitamin-C in fruits: Biosynthesis and regulation. Frontiers in Plant Science.2019;9:1-21.
21. Tohidloo G, Souri MK, Eskandarpour S. Growth and fruit biochemical characteristics of three strawberry genotypes under different potassium concentrations of nutrient solution. Open Agriculture. 2018; 3: 356-62. Doi: 10.1515/opsg-2018-0039.

UNDER PEER REVIEW