

Effectiveness and activity of essential oils as bio-insecticides against the pulse beetle, *Callosobruchus chinensis* (L.) in different pulses.

Abstract :

Callosobruchus chinensis L. is ~~contemplated as~~ considered the most destructive pest that attacks ~~the~~ stored grains. The use of synthetic chemicals ~~have~~ has many adverse effects on human health and results in environmental contamination. Essential oils (EOs) can effectively combat this pest as a natural alternative to synthetic pesticides. The most frequently utilized techniques for evaluating insecticidal activity were the tests for contact toxicity, inhalation/fumigant toxicity, and repellency. As its primary mechanisms of action, Acetylcholinesterase (AChE), GABA receptors, and octopaminergic receptors are all impacted by essential oils, which also inhibit AChE and play a part in GABA receptor regulation. The present review offers an overview on already published research and reports with regard to the utility of Essential oils to combat the pulse beetle.

Keywords: *botanicals, chemicals, insecticides, oils, pulse beetle.*

Introduction:

Pulses are members of the subfamily Papilionaceae of the legume family Fabaceae or Leguminosae. For low-income groups of people and vegetarians, pulses are ~~an a~~ significant part of their daily diet since they are a good supply of nutrients such ~~as~~ proteins, carbohydrates, minerals, and vitamins without any cholesterol or fat. Additionally, they are a wonderful source of minerals like iron, magnesium, phosphorus, zinc, and others that are vital for optimum health. They ~~have 20~~ have 20–25 percent per cent protein content by weight, which is twice as much as wheat and rice (Yunus *et al.*, 2015). With 35% of the world's land planted to pulses, India tops the production, importation and consumption of pulses, contributing 25% to worldwide production. In India, they are grown on 128.88 Lha of area and produce an average of 76.49 Lt, whereas in Uttar Pradesh, they are grown on 8.83 Lha ~~of~~ area of area and produce 5.36 Lt. (Directorate of Economics and Statistics, DAC and FW, 2020).

Comment [D1]: Why is only Uttar Pradesh discussed here? Is Uttar Pradesh the highest producer?

Comment [D2]: Either mention the same in reference section or write anonymous here.

Pulses require additional care when being stored since microorganisms and insect infestations cause them to suffer significant harm. Storage pests greatly diminish the number and quality of seeds by severely damaging the grains that are kept in storage. (Bashir *et al.*, 2015).

Insect infestation causes a staggering loss of 25–30 percent per cent of the world's world's agricultural productivity each year (most recent reference). Out of this, storage related storage-related pest infestations alone result in an annual loss of productivity of 8% (most recent reference). Pest infestation losses in India accounted for 6.5 percent per cent of the stored grain (most recent reference). The biggest problem with storage is losses from pest infestation, especially in the villages and cities of tropical and subtropical regions due to humid weather, substandard hygiene, and inadequate storage facilities. A reduction in the food grain's nutritional value results from pests in storage that not only consume the food grain but also alter its organic composition. Along with numerous other alterations, they also cause the cereal grains to ferment and become acidic. The insect attack damages the grains, making them vulnerable to numerous bacteria. The chemical compound known as "Aflatoxin," which is said to be linked to human liver cancer, is produced by moulds growing in contaminated cereal grains. (Yadav *et al.*, 2018).

Comment [D3]: It is not listed in references.

There are about 1700 species of bruchids belonging to 62 genera worldwide (Romero and Johnson, 2004). These include *Callosobruchus chinensis* (Ahmed *et al.*, 2003 and Sarwar, 2012), *C. analis* (Deeba *et al.*, 2006), and *C. maculatus* are among the primary species primary species of pulse beetles (Jadhav *et al.*, 2012). About 200 different types of pest insects exist in India, and they can harm grain and stored grain products. *C. allosobruchus chinensis*, *C. maculatus*, and *C. allosobruchus analis* are among the insects that infest a variety of pulses (Fab.). There have been reports of the genus *Callosobruchus* being a major pest in India, Middle and Far East Africa. *C. chinensis* (L.) (Coleoptera: chrysomelidae Chrysomelidae) which is commonly known as "gram dhora" was first identified in China in 1758, where the species name of beetle was given to it (Firth *et al.*, 1973). Almost all types of pulses are severely damaged by *Callosobruchus chinensis* L., including chickpea chickpeas, lentil, black gram grams, cowpea, pigeonpea pigeonpeas, mung bean mungbeans, grass pea peas, garden pea peas, etc. In India and many other nations throughout the world, it has reportedly caused major harm to pulse crops. It is widely distributed around the world in areas with tropical and subtropical climates. According

Comment [D4]: It is not listed in references.

Comment [D5]: not listed in references.

Comment [D6]: not listed in references

Comment [D7]: not listed in references

Comment [D8]: ??

Comment [D9]: not listed in references.

to reports, it is a severe insect pest that damages stored goods in temperate zones, costing an estimated 0.21 million ~~tones of tons of~~ ₹ 315 ~~million annually~~ million annually (Varma and Anandi, 2010). It has a capability to infest not only cultivated host plants in the field but also in storage (Fahad, 2011). It is recorded that 55- 60% loss in seed weight and 45.50 to 66.30% loss in protein content of pulses due to infestation caused by this beetle under normal conditions and severity of damage increases with the duration of storage (Faruk et al., 2011). Pulses are unfit for human consumption due to the infestation induced by *Callosobruchus C. chinensis* L. Infested seeds become non-viable and sometimes unusual sprouting compels re-sowing (Tauthong and Wanleelag, 1983). The germination rate of the pulse seeds is also reduced to a great extent (Yadav, 1985). The severity of the damage varies depending on the kind of legume, the period of exposure, ~~the location~~ the location of storage, and other elements related to the seeds.

Comment [D10]: Support the data with recent reference.

Comment [D11]: not listed in references

Comment [D12]: not listed in references

Comment [D13]: not listed in references

Comment [D14]: not listed in references

Pulse beetles are destructive pests that attack stored pulses like chickpea, pigeon pea, green gram and blackgram. Beginning in the field, the infestation spreads to the store. The pulse grains are not harmed by the adult insects. Although they mate and lay their eggs on grains, they do not consume the seed. The harm is entirely the fault of the larva. The grub creates a hole, pierces the grain, and consumes seeds within, rendering them unfit for human ingestion. This causes the nutritional reserves of the embryo to be depleted, which results in low germination rates and weak seedlings. This lowers both the market prices and the quality. The potency of the pulse beetle infestation is greater in the summer than in the winter, primarily because of the high temperatures and high relative humidity, however this pest reproduces throughout the year in the stored pulses. When conditions are favourable, such as an ideal temperature of 30°C and relative humidity of 70%, the amount of damage is greatest (Srinivasan, 2008).

Comment [D15]: not listed in references

In the storage, pulse beetle can be managed using a variety of techniques. These include mechanical, cultural, physical, biological, and chemical methods, as well as the use of botanical pesticides (Katiyar and Khare, 1989). The utilization of synthetic chemicals to combat storage pests is one of these, and farmers and stockholders frequently employ this technique. The chemical approach, which poses health risks to users and grain consumers, is now widely acknowledged to have a number of drawbacks. It results in residual toxicity, environmental contamination, and the emergence of bruchid-specific pesticide resistance.

Comment [D16]: not listed in references

Sometimes persistent pesticides build up in the higher levels of both the wildlife and human food chains and concentrate through biomagnification (Metcalf, 1975). Contrarily, the conventional approach of sun-drying is less hazardous to both ~~environment and~~ environment and health of the human beings. However, this approach is time-intensive, exorbitant and needs sufficient drying yard where a huge volume of stored grain is engaged. Additionally, it depends on favourable atmospheric phenomenon.

Comment [D17]: not listed in references

The majority of the success thus far in protecting pulses in storage from the infestation of ~~of beetle beetle~~ pivoted on synthetic chemicals like ~~phosphine phosphine~~ fumigation and methyl bromide. Despite the fact that these chemicals unavoidably and excessively protect the grains, their usage pollutes the environment and creates major health risks, ozone depletion, and insect resistance ~~due to the development of resistant strains~~. (Kim *et al.*, 2003). To ensure that foods are free of pesticides, these issues need the regulation of these substances (Daglish, 2008). This circumstance necessitates the requirement for pest control materials that are in storage that are secure, affordable, and easily accessible locally. Therefore, there is increased interest in using practical and environmentally friendly ways to substitute synthetic pesticides, such as the use of plant extracts, leaf powders, oils, pressurised carbon dioxide, and temperature management approaches. (Yuya *et al.*, 2009). There are promising studies about the usage of specific native plant products as grain protectants (Sundria *et al.*, 2001 and Bhargava and Meena, 2002). Plants' insecticidal activities have been thoroughly studied and have shown promise for controlling pests in the field and storage. Plant-derived compounds are risk-free and contain bitter components that have the potential to be poisonous, repulsive, antifeedant, and impede growth and offspring in insect pests (Roy *et al.*, 2005; Roy *et al.*, 2014). Numerous plant-derived substances have been shown to be poisonous and growth inhibitors against insects that feed on stored-products (Cosimiet *et al.*, 2009). Plant lectins are biodegradable insecticides that have detrimental effects on the survival, development, oviposition, and reproduction of pest insects that attack stored grain (Oliveira *et al.*, 2011). According to Onu *et al.* (2015), organic plant extracts give protection with less negative effects on the ecosystem and keep insect pests away from the treated grains by activating their olfactory receptors.

Comment [D18]: not listed in references

Comment [D19]: It is a well-known fact so a reference is not needed. Still reference is not in list.

Comment [D20]:

Comment [D21]: not listed in references

Comment [D22]: not listed in references

Comment [D23]: not listed in references

Comment [D24]: not listed in references

Comment [D25]: not listed in references

Comment [D26]: not listed in references

Bioactive compounds found in plant powders, extracts and oils have harmful effects and emit odour that deter adult beetles. Less research has been done on using weed plants to manage

insect pests, despite the fact that they are a valuable source of medicine and contain toxic compounds.

Comment [D27]: one reference is needed for the claim.

Only 10% of the essential oils—which are derived from the flowers, leaves, bark of decorative, aromatic plants, medicinal, and other plants—are used for scent, flavour, and smell. Due to their wide range of insecticidal abilities, ecofriendly nature, and possibility for commercial application against stored grain pests, Essential oils will be regarded as alternatives to traditional chemical pesticides. Oils can kill insects by causing anoxia or by interfering with their regular respiration, which can lead to asphyxia. The oils could also act as anti-feedants or modify the storage micro-environment, thereby discouraging insect penetration in the grain and feeding (Haghtalabet *al.* (2009)). Essential oils contain a complex blend of phytochemicals with insecticidal effects on pests that attack the stored grains (monoterpenes, sesquiterpenes, etc.). The commercially available Essential oil-based treatments to manage pests in stored grains are not yet accessible. Based on the foregoing context, the primary objectives of the current work are to assess the fumigant, antifeedant, Chemosterilant, repellent, and ovicidal effects of the essential oils, against the pulse beetle.

Comment [D28]: not listed in references

Methodology:

This analysis of published studies examining the insecticidal activity of Essential oils against pulse beetle was done. The following search terms were entered into several databases, including Web of Science, Scopus, Google Scholar, and Science Direct: essential oils, pulse beetle, stored grains, insecticidal activity, pests.

Fumigant bioassay

In 30 mL glass vials, *C. chinensis* was tested both with and without food to estimate the fumigant toxicity of essential oils. The Whatman No. 1 Filter paper sheets (5 × 1 cm) were immediately impregnated with essential oils at concentrations of 5 L, 10 L, 15 L, 20 L, and 25 L, and left to air dry for 2 min. To resist the insects from coming into direct contact with the filter paper essential oils-containing essential oils-filter paper, the essential oils-impregnated filter papers were then folded into a L shape at 0.5 cm length and adhered to the inner top surface of the screw cap with double-sided adhesive tape. To each vial, ten *C. chinensis* adult insects were

Formatted: Font: Italic

Comment [D29]: write the full form of the unit once after that abbreviation can be used

introduced. To establish an airtight condition, the tubes were sealed with parafilm and closed with a cover containing filter paper. The experimental setup described above was used for the food condition, with the minor modification of adding insects to green gram (10 g) containing vials. The filter paper in the control lacked any essential oil. Each treatment was replicated thrice. In the without food condition, the insect mortality was observed for 4, 8, 12 and 24 h, whereas in with food condition the mortality was recorded for 4, 8 and 12 h. (Bincy *et al.*, 2023).

The mortality is approved when there is no sign ~~of movement~~ of movement. Mortality percentages (% M) are calculated using the Abbott's (Abbott, 1925) :

$$\% \text{Mortality} = \frac{\% \text{Me} - \% \text{Mo}}{100 - \% \text{Mo}} \times 100$$

Where Me = mortality in the tested EOs and

~~Mo~~ Mo = mortality in the negative control.

Contact assay:

Essential oils are prepared in a solvent ~~as~~ (acetone) and then poured out into a filter paper disc (9cm in diameter). After the solvent ~~is~~ is evaporated the disc is placed in a petri dish. Each Petri dish is closed with parafilm after placing adult insects. The mortality is calculated every 24h for 6 days (Alilou and Akssira, 2021).

Ovipositiondeterrent:

Oviposition deterrents are substances that stop or hinder insects from depositing eggs. Oviposition deterrents can serve as the primary defence against insect pests and have great potential for preventing insect infestation. Ovipositing females typically employ plant volatiles as cues to find host plants or substrates. Many specialists have claimed that PVOC plays a role in partially or totally suppressing the deposition of eggs as well as the development of different

Comment [D30]: not listed in references

Comment [D31]: write once the full form of PVOC then use abbreviation in rest of the manuscript

species of insect pests from eggs laid on stored grains. It was discovered that the volatile component of 1, 8 cineole, which was extracted from essential oils of various plants in the Lamiaceae family, influences the pace at which various insects lay their eggs. The use of garlic oil as an oviposition deterrent is also familiar. The essential oil of *Eucalyptus citriodora*, *E. globulus* and *E. Staigeriana* have severe effect on the oviposition, thereby reducing the viability of eggs and insect's emergence of *C. of C. maculatus*. (Singh *et al.*, 2021).

Comment [D32]: without referring to any reference authors cannot make the statements.

Formatted: Font: Italic

Repellency bioassay:

Repellency assays of essential oils were carried out using the preferred area method on filter paper according to the experimental protocol described by Mediouni Ben Jem^{aa} and his team (Jemaa *et al.*, 2012). As a result, the 9 cm diameter filter paper discs used for the purpose of this work were divided into 2 equal pieces, each with a surface area of 31.80 cm². By dilution in acetone, four dosages of the essential oils were prepared: 5, 10, 40, and 160 mL. Then, 0.25 mL of each solution—corresponding to dosages of 0.157, 0.314, 1.258, and 5.031 L/cm²—was evenly distributed over one half of the disc while the other half obtained only 0.25 mL of acetone. The two sides of the discs were re-welded with the help of adhesive tape after fifteen minutes. A batch of 10 adult, fresh adults that were up to ten days old were kept in the centre of each reconstituted filter paper disc, which was then placed on a petri dish. Three replications were performed for each dose. The number of insects on the filter paper treated with the essential oils (N_t) and the number of insects on the untreated region (N_c) were counted after two hours.

The percentage repellency (PR) was calculated using the following formula: (Yakhlef *et al.*, 2020)

$$PR = \frac{N_c - N_t}{N_c + N_t} \times 100$$

Antifeedant activity :

“Antifeedants” or “feeding

deterrents” are the chemical substances that disrupt the feeding behavior of insects by making the treated food unappealing or unpalatable. Antifeedants can potentially cause a temporary or permanent halt of feeding. As a result of particular compounds in plants preventing insects from feeding on them, the insects eventually starve to death. Antifeedants are easily recognized by the way they affect insects when they come into touch with them. Antifeedant makes an effort to get rid of insects without ever messing with the ecology. Antifeedant does not kill the target insect, instead it allows them to be accessible for their natural enemies. The deleterious effects could be activated to serve a novel role in the management of stored grain insect pest. (Singh *et al.*, 2021). The activity of essential oils on the nutritional behavior of insects was described in the study of Shukla *et al.* (2011). In this test, the antifeeding ability of the essential oils is evaluated using a 1L jar. First, the EO needs to be placed on the inside surface of the jar after being individually soaked in a cotton swab. The jar that exclusively receives acetone serves as the negative control. Then, 500g of uncontaminated grain with a moisture percentage of 12–13% should be added to the fumigant jars. Finally, the insects (100 insects) should be released on all the jars and the effectiveness of EOs is determined after 6 months of storage by evaluating the mortality, the jars weight, and the weight of insects alive. (Mssillouet *et al.*, 2022).

Weight loss is calculated using Equation.

$$\text{Percent weight loss} = \frac{w-f}{w} \times 100 \quad (\text{Kobir } et al. 2019)$$

Where, w = Initial weight of seeds,

f = Final weight of seeds.

The EO activity as antifeedant is observed by calculating the feeding deterrent index (FDI, %).**(Rajkumar et al., 2019):**

$$\text{FDI} = \frac{W_0 - T}{W_0} \times 100$$

W₀ = weight loss in control sample.

T = weight loss in treated samples.

Results and discussion

Chemosterilants:

Chemosterilants are chemicals that prevent insects from reproducing. Such chemicals simply stop the generation of F₁ offspring; they do not impact their mating behaviour or lifespan. Instead, they cause irreversible sterility. In some instances, eggs may not be laid, may not hatch, may not pupate, or may not fully develop into pupae. Chemosterilants harm the ovaries, preventing the development of eggs. As a result, ovarian development is inhibited, which results in sterility. Chemosterilants have been proven to be a crucial component of integrated pest control plans because they lower the incidence of pest-resistant organisms. According to Saxena *et al.*, asarone, a compound derived from the rhizomes of *A. calamus*, has insect chemosterilant characteristics and inhibits the activity of interstitial cells in insects. The active principle, 1,3,7-trimethylxanthine isolate from seed extract of proved effective as chemosterilant for *C. chinensis*.**(Singh et al., 2021).**

Mechanism and efficacy of essential oils against pulse beetle:

The complete reduction of the oviposition rate in pulses treated with plant oils could be as a result of higher mortality of *Callosobruchus chinensis*, thereby disrupting the mating and sexual communications as well as deterring females from laying eggs. The effectiveness of the plant

oils in reducing oviposition could be attributed to the presence of active ingredients such as Tetranortriterpenoids, ricinine and karanjin which have anti fertility, repellent and ovipositional deterrent effects on stored products (**Chaudhary et al., 2017; Hagtalabet al., 2009 and Reena et al., 2012**). **Sharanabasappa and Kulkarni (2008)** reported that neem oil, castor oil and karanj oil recorded lowest number of eggs per 50 seeds. **Bhatnagaret al., 2001** also observed that the number of eggs laid (8.9) were lowest in seeds treated with neem oil. **Biswas and Biswas (2005)** also reported that karanj oil and neem oil effectively controlled pulse beetle population by reducing oviposition rate. **Sharma et al. (2013)** and **Bhatnagar et al. (2001)** reported that neem oil was found to be most effective oviposition deterrent against *C. chinensis* in chickpea and cowpea, respectively. **Rashmi et al. (2014)** also reported that botanicals viz., neem oil, castor oil and mentha oil were found effective against pulse beetle in terms of ovicidal effect. **Miah et al. (2013)** reported that the no eggs, larvae and pupae development of *C. maculatus* were found in neem oil, castor oil and camphor oil treated greengram due to toxic effect of these botanicals. The egg mortality and failure to hatch on the seed treated with oil has been attributed to the toxic component of the oil and also to the physical properties which cause changes in the surface tension and oxygen tension within the eggs (**Singh 1978**). Oil vapours likely entered eggs through diffusion and impacted the physiological and biochemical processes involved in embryonic development. (**Marimuthu et al., 1997**).

Essential oils showed the highest percent adult mortality, this may be due to essential oils of plant origin are highly lipophilic and therefore have the ability to penetrate the cuticle of insects (**Abdullahi et al., 2011**). **Raghvani and Kapadia (2003)**, who reported 100 per cent adult mortality with neem oil at 10 ml/kg seed in pigeonpea against *C. maculatus* up to six months.

The low grain damage in oil treatment might be due to the decrease in number of adult emergence that results in less weight and less grain damage (**Raja and Williams, 2008**). **Ramangoudaret al. (2000)** observed no seed damage by *C. chinensis* in seeds of horse gram when treated with neem oil at 5 ml/kg seed. **Singh (2003)** reported that pigeonpea seeds coated with neem and castor oil gave significant protection against pulse beetle compared to the untreated control.

Keita et al. (2001) reported that seeds treated with botanical extract oils did not lose their viability and the powders made from essential oils of different basils provided complete

protection against *C. maculatus*, and also did not show significant effect on the seed germination rate.

Yalamanchilli and Punukollu (2000) observed that the volatile oil from the leaves of *Curcuma domestica* could effectively protect the seeds, against *C. chinensis*, at a low concentration. **Mulatu and Gebremedhin (2000)** showed that the oils of *A. indica*, *Milletiaeferruginea* and *Chrysanthemum cineraraefolium* were the most effective in partially or completely preventing egg laying, and pulse beetles emergence from the laid eggs. **Paneru and Shivakoti** reported that mustard oil caused significant mortality of *C. maculatus* after seven days when used 2% formulation. For two days neem oil caused 100% mortality in *C. maculatus*.

Compared to purified and non-edible oils, crude and non-edible oils provided superior protection for grain. These oil coatings possess ovicidal qualities. Without influencing seed germination or cooking quality, it results in poor oviposition, decreases egg production, and increases larval and adult mortality. (**Wanderley et al., 2020**). To avoid infestation, several culinary and non-edible oils have occasionally been used to combat insect pests. The oils were also tested for their effectiveness on egg laying and adult longevity at various concentrations. According to Chelav and Khashaveh, after three days of exposure, a higher mortality rate was seen with 10 ml of poppy oil per kg of grains. According to Wahedi *et al.*, Neem seed oil is the principal active ingredient for *C. maculatus* adults, it also reduces females' capacity to lay eggs. According to Abd El-Aziz, clove oil and eucalyptus oil prevent *C. maculatus* from laying eggs. In his research, grains that had been exposed to neem oil showed the lowest levels of oviposition, undamaged seeds, and weight loss. Ketker evaluated the effects of neem, castor and coconut oils on green gram against pulse bruchid and declared neem oil to be the best surface protector. Neem oil prevented egg production by controlling insects.

Conclusion:

Plant-derived pesticides have long been a key tool in farmers' arsenals for controlling pest insects that are stored in crops. The insecticidal properties of essential oils, their primary chemical constituents, and their mode of action against the pulse beetle have been discussed in this review. Since plant volatile organic compounds are affordable, easily accessible at the farmer level, eco-friendly, and have low mammalian toxicity, they may be suggested as a potential alternative to

synthetic insecticides. Plant volatile organic compounds may provide a solution to issues with resistance, cost, availability, and health hazards.

References:

Anonymous. (2020). Directorate of Economic and Statistics, Ministry of Agriculture & Farmers Welfare (DAC&FW), Govt. of India; 2019-20- IIIrd Adv. Est.

Abdel-Aziz, S.E. (2001). Persistence of some plant oils against the bruchid beetle, *Callosobruchus maculatus* F., Coleoptera: Bruchidae during storage. Arab Universities. *Journal of Agricultural Sciences*.9(1):423–432.

Abbott, W.S.(1925). A method of computing the effectiveness of insecticides. *Journal of Economic Entomology*. 18:265–267

Bashir, M. A., Alvi, A. M., Bibi, R., Ahmad, T. and Naz, H. (2015). Reproductive potential and development of *Callosobruchuschinensis* on legumes and cereals commodities. *Science letters journal*. 3(2):122-127.

Bincy, K., Remesh, A. V., Prabhakar, P. R. and Babu, C. S.S V. (2023). Differential fumigant and contact biotoxicities of biorational essential oil of Indian sweet basil and its active constituent against pulse beetle, *Callosobruchuschinensis*. *Food Bioscience*. 51(102283):1-10.

Bokobana, E.M., Koba, K., Poutouli, W.P., Akantetou, P.K., Nadio, N.A., Laba, B., Tozoou, P., Raynaud, C., Sanda, K. (2014). Evaluation of the insecticidal and repulsive potential of the cymbopogon essential oil *Schoenanthus* (L.) spreng. on aphid gossypii glover (Homoptera: Aphididae), cotton pest in Togo. *Rev. Cames*.2 (2):48–55.

Ketkar, C. (1986). Use of tree-derived non-edible oils as surface protectants for stored legumes against *Callosobruchus maculatus* and *C. chinensis*. Natural pesticides from the neem tree (*Azadirachta indica* A Juss) and other tropical plants Proceedings of the 3rd International Neem Conference, Nairobi, Kenya, 10–15 July.

- Chelav, H. S, Khashaveh, A. (2013).** Insecticidal activity of Poppy (*Papaver somniferum* L.) seed oil against cowpea weevil (*Callosobruchus maculatus* F.) in stored cowpea. *Archives of Phytopathology Plant Protection*. **46**(19):2314–2322.
- Jemaa, M. B., Tersim, J., Toudert, N. T. and Khouja, M. L. (2012).** Insecticidal activities of essential oils from leaves of *Laurus nobilis* L. from Tunisia, Algeria and Morocco, and comparative chemical composition. *Journal of Stored Products Research*. **48**: 97–104.
- Kobir, M. S., Paul, S. and Harun-Or-Rashid, M. (2019).** Efficacy of locally available plant seed oils against pulse beetle infesting blackgram. *Journal of Bioscience and Agriculture Research*, **22**(01):1823-1828.
- Keita, S. M., Vincent, C., Schmit, J. P., Arnason, J. T., Belange, r A. (2001).** Efficacy of essential oil of *Ocimum basilicum* L. and *O. gratissimum* L. applied as an insecticidal fumigant and powder to control *Callosobruchus maculatus* (Fab.) (Coleoptera: Bruchidae). *Journal of Stored Products Research*. **37**(4):339–349
- Mssillou, I., Saghrouchni, H., Saber, M., Zannoud, A. J., Balahbib, A., Bouyahya, A., Allali, A., Lyoussi, B. and Derwicha, E. (2022).** Efficacy and role of essential oils as bio-insecticide against the pulse beetle *Callosobruchus maculatus* (F.) in post-harvest crops. *Industrial Crops and Products*. 189:1-24.
- Mulatu, B., Gebremedhin, T. (2000).** Oviposition-deterrent and toxic effects of various botanicals on the Adzuki bean beetle, *Callosobruchus chinensis* L. *Insect Science and its Application*. **20**(1):33–38.
- Paneru, R. B. and Shivakoti, G. P. (2001).** Use of botanicals for the management of pulse beetle (*Callosobruchus maculatus* F.) in Lentil. *Nepal Agriculture Research Journal*. **4**(5):27–30.
- Rajkumar, V., Gunasekaran, C., Christy, I. K., Dharmaraj, J., Chinnaraj, P., Paul, C.A., (2019).** Toxicity, antifeedant and biochemical efficacy of *Mentha piperita* L. essential oil and their major constituents against stored grain pest. *Pesticide Biochemistry and Physiology*. 156:138–144.

- Singh, K. D., Mobolade, A. J., Bharali, R., Sahoo, D. and Rajashekar, Y. (2021).** Main plant volatiles as stored grain pest management approach. *Journal of Agriculture and Food Research*. 4:1-11.
- Shukla, R., Singh, P., Prakash, B., Kumar, A., Mishra, P. K., Dubey, N. K. (2011).** Efficacy of essential oils of *Lippia alba* (Mill.) N.E. Brown and *Callistemon lanceolatus* (Sm.) Sweet and their major constituents on mortality, oviposition and feeding behaviour of pulse beetle, *Callosobruchuschinensis* L. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*. 91:2277–2283.
- Saxena, B. P., Koul, O., Tikku, K., Atal, C. K. (1977).** A new insect chemosterilant isolated from *Acorus calamus*. *Nature*. 270:512.
- Wanderley, M. J., Costa, N. P. D., Silva, T., Borges, M., Cruz, G. R. B. D., Melo, T. D. S, 2020.** Use of vegetable oils to control bean weevil development on cowpea grains. *Revista Caatinga*. 32 (4): 1117–1124.
- Wahedi, J., Zakariya, R., Danba, E., David, D., Mshelmbula, B. and Buba, U. (2015).** Ethnobotanical studies of the efficacy of five oils at graded levels on adult *C. maculate* reared on cowpea. *International Journal of Research Review*. 2(8):481–486.
- Yakhlef, G., Hambabaa, L., Pinto, D. C.G.A. and Silva, A. M. S. (2020).** Chemical composition and insecticidal, repellent and antifungal activities of essential oil of *Mentha rotundifolia* (L.) from Algeria. *Industrial Crops and Products*. 158:1-8.
- Yalamanchilli, R. P, Punukollu, B. (2000).** Bioefficacy studies on leaf oil of *Curcuma domestica* Valetton: Grain protectant activity. *Journal of Medicinal and Aromatic Plant Sciences*. 22(13):715–716.
- Yunus, F. N., Asif, K. and Rashid, F. (2015).** Oviposition behaviour of pulse beetle *Callosobruchuschinensis* (L) reared on different pulses and cereals. *Biomedical Letters*. 1(1): 5-8.