

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_100126</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Pulse Productivity And Profitability as influenced by Cluster Front Line Demonstrations at Farmers Field in District Kupwara</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The article is well written however, the introduction part needs to be include recent studies. Articles highlighted the importance of extension methods like frontline &amp; cluster demonstrations to bring awarness of farmers on improved technologies. The article will be useful for extension personals and farming community.</li> <li>• The title of the article should be changed as corrected in the text.</li> <li>• The abstract of the article should be checked and corrected as mentioned in the text.</li> <li>• The sections and subsections should be properly numbered.</li> <li>• The article is scientifically correct and usefull for extension personals and farming community.</li> <li>• The recent references should be includede and some rereferences needs to be removed as mentioned in the text.</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Needs some improvement. The author should use scientific names when they are discussing about technologies.	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	The present article can be considered for publication after incorporating the corrections made in the text.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Barikara Umesh</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Agricultural Sciences, India</b>