

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Medical Principles and Clinical Practice</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJMPCP_107585</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Prevalence, Trends, and Sociodemographic Determinants of Sexually Transmitted Diseases in Bangladesh</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The topic/work is of importance in the field of Public Health; However, it is recommended to take care of the shape and style. In addition to not repeating information in the different sections of the work.</li> <li>2. The title of the work seems appropriate to me.</li> <li>3. It is suggested to improve the writing of the summary, taking care of the order of the ideas; emphasizing what the work brings (results).</li> <li>4. The sections and structure are correct, except for taking care of the style; and in each of these avoid repeating information.</li> <li>5. The work can be improved both in substance (content) and in the way it is written.</li> <li>7. In the references section, they must be written taking care of the style/form (according to the guidelines suggested by the journal), both in each of the paragraphs they support, and at the end of the list of references.</li> </ol> <p>It is not understandable, and it seems contradictory when they say that the care and health system at the workplace is adequate, so it is suggested to review what is proposed since it may be questionable.</p> <p>It is necessary for the authors to improve the structure of the conclusions section, it is important to be concise and only state the conclusions that emanate from the work carried out, without repeating information that is recorded in the discussion.</p> <p>It is important to consider the guidelines of writing - editing that gives the journal, and as an example the following conditions can be applied at work: Supplementing broiler feed with 200 mg of ascorbic acid per kg boosted growth and FCR [7,8,10,12,13]. According to Sahin et al. [14], supplementing with either vitamin C or chromium led to better live weight gain, feed efficiency, and carcass traits and reduced blood corticosterone and MDA concentrations. Sujatha et al. [15] found that oxidative stress in broilers might be alleviated...</p> <p>In the manuscript some details are marked (in color - underlined), which must be taken care of, among others.</p> <p>References should be listed at the end of the manuscript and numbered in the order in which they appear in the text. Any reference referred to in the text must also appear in the reference list and vice versa. In the text, citations should be indicated with the reference number in parentheses [5]. All references must follow the following style. Necessary suggestions to carry out. <u>Reference to a journal:</u> 1. Olagunju AT, Morgan JA, Aftab A, Gatchel JR, Chen P, Dols A, Sajatovic M, Regenold WT. A Review of the Evidence Base for Nutrition and Nutritional Supplements in Older Adults with Bipolar Disorder: A report from the OABD task force. J Frailty Aging. 2021;10(3):241-246. doi: 10.14283/jfa.2020.64. 2. Boyle NB, Lawton C, Dye L. The Effects of Magnesium Supplementation on Subjective Anxiety and Stress-A Systematic Review. Nutrients. 2017;9(5):429. doi: 10.3390/nu9050429.</p> <p><u>Reference to a book:</u></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

	1. Rang HP, Dale MM, Ritter JM, Moore PK. Pharmacology. 5th ed. Edinburgh: Churchill Livingstone; 2003.  Reference to Web-resource or Electronic articles. 1. Hugo JT, Mondal SC. Parallels between tissue repair and embryo morphogenesis: a conceptual framework. Global Health. 2006;16:4. Accessed 29 March 2012. Available: <a href="http://www.globalizationandhealth.com/content/1/1/14">http://www.globalizationandhealth.com/content/1/1/14</a>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	It is recommended to take care of the style of the manuscript (form and background), annotations are made on the document.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Where available, the URLs of the references are provided. The text must comply with the style and bibliographic requirements described in the guidelines for authors.	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Benjamin Valladares Carranza</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Autonomous University of the State of Mexico, México</b>