

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Language, Literature and Culture Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJL2C_106856
Title of the Manuscript:	ORAL LITERATURE: A TOOL FOR SECURITY AND NATIONAL INTEGRATION
Type of the Article	Opinion Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes, the manuscript addresses the significance of oral literature in nurturing moral values and national integration, which is vital for social well-being.</p> <p>2. Yes, the title is suitable as it exactly mirrors the article's content and purpose.</p> <p>3. Yes, the abstract delivers a wide-ranging overview of the article by summarizing its main topics and objectives.</p> <p>4. Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript are well-organized, which make the content flow in a logical way.</p> <p>5. Yes, the manuscript appears to be scientifically correct. Arguments and information are presented in a clear manner.</p> <p>6. The references provided are sufficient in quantity however, all the references are very old. The latest reference provide in this manuscript is of year 2013. Recent publications (within the last 5 years) related to the title make this manuscript more effective.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. Yes, the language and English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communication. The writing is clear and accessible to a wide audience.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. In section 3.3 "The use of songs" only a single song is referred, 2 to 3 more song provide support to researchers' idea. Moreover, it is more supportive if translation of all songs in English may be added.</p> <p>2. Researchers did not include "Research Questions" and "Objectives" of research under a separate section.</p> <p>3. Although after reading the manuscript methodology for conducting this research can be seen however, "Research Methodology" may be included under separate heading for clear sight of readers.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Yasir Khan
Department, University & Country	NCBA&E, Pakistan