

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Language, Literature and Culture Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJL2C_106856
Title of the Manuscript:	ORAL LITERATURE: A TOOL FOR SECURITY AND NATIONAL INTEGRATION
Type of the Article	Opinion Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> To some extent based on theoretical basis we can say yes. The manuscript can be important for the scientific community. Yes, it seems so The abstract could have been prepared well if the author would have adjusted in a way to add the research methodology, exact definition of the study area and referring to the time period mentioned and also to consider the future study topics which can help to direct the researchers for continuing the research and start new related researches. The "recommendations" and "conclusion" sections can be more detailed and the study area can be adjusted much better and the study area can be well defined for other similar researchers to be used on a comparative basis. To some extent yes, but after including the reviews it will be possible. Since the latest references goes back to the year 2013 it is important for the manuscript author to review more up-to-date and recent years articles and add them to the article to increase the quality of the scientific article which can be referred by more professionals and technical related researchers. Some terms such as: "a Tool for security" could have been added to the keywords section! Since not being added these kind of keywords and as such are strongly recommended to be added by the manuscript author. It seems in some parts the manuscript should be edited from literal and technical point of view and basis 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> It seems in some parts the manuscript should be edited from literal and technical point of view and basis 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bijan Yavar
Department, University & Country	Allameh Tabataba'i University, Iran