

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJFAR_106975 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | EFFECT OF STEAMING TEMPERATURE ON NUTRIENT CONTENT AND ORGANOLEPTIC OF SHREDDED MACKEREL TUNA FISH |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p> | <p>1. The manuscript is important for scientific community.</p> <p>2. However, the title may be slightly reviewed to be in accordance with the findings. I propose: EFFECT OF STEAMING TEMPERATURE ON PROXIMATE COMPOSITION AND ORGANOLEPTIC QUALITY OF SHREDDED MACKEREL TUNA FISH.</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive. But the third sentence (The study will be conducted from December 2022 to June 2023) may be turned into a past tense (The study was conducted from December 2022 to June 2023).</p> <p>4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are partially appropriate. The authors should complete the sections: Acknowledgments, Competing Interests, Authors' contributions and state the consent and ethical issue if necessary.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct. However, the standard error of each calculated mean may be given in the table. Similarly, the number of fish sampled for the study may be given in the methodology.</p> <p>6. The references sufficient and recent. However, there is a lack of reference in the methodology (only one reference).</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | The language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications. | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | The authors may use the author's guideline of AJFAR. | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) | |

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Name: | Tougan Polycarpe Ulbad |
| Department, University & Country | University of Parakou, Benin |