

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_107639
Title of the Manuscript:	The impact of mathematics anxiety on mathematical value of secondary school students in Nigeria : A case study of secondary schools in South West of Nigeria
Type of the Article	Data Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The manuscript is important to scientific community, it meets the requirement and need of 21st century. The topic of study is highly significant to determine the need analysis of Mathematics anxiety in Nigeria by keeping the development of Mathematical values.</p> <p>Yes, the article is suitable. It is concise and descriptive.</p> <p>Mention the research method I.e., qualitative or quantitative.</p> <p>Sub-sections and structure is appropriate. Try to avoid multiple font style and mention the table number with proper alignment of table.</p> <p>Yes, it is scientifically correct.</p> <p>Use updated reference in your research specially from past 5 years. Add citations for interviews data when you quote directly. The significant studies have been reviewed to represent the previous studies but the organization of content is not helping the objective of study properly.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>To make this article more suitable for scholarly communications:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Avoid use of polysyndeton, it makes manuscript overwrought and informal. • Suitable space between the words is not ensured. • Grammatical mistakes are presets in few places. 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Revise the language Vocabulary used in the article. This article is for high-tech audience only. It would be useful to connect big abstract notion with concrete examples linked with mathematics anxiety and value.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sehar Mangi
Department, University & Country	Government High School, Pakistan