

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_107639
Title of the Manuscript:	The impact of mathematics anxiety on mathematical value of secondary school students in Nigeria : A case study of secondary schools in South West of Nigeria
Type of the Article	Data Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The manuscript is important for scientific community.</p> <p>The title is suitable but rather too long. The author should consider reducing word count to less than 20.</p> <p>The abstract of the article is comprehensive.</p> <p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript are ok, however, the research philosophy and approach are not necessary. The research strategy should be renamed research design.</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>The references are sufficient and recent.</p> <p>The author should ensure consistency in interpretation of results by either using the p values or t statistics but not both.</p> <p>The null hypotheses should be well denoted.</p> <p>The sampling procedure was not fully described. Snowball sampling may not have been used to sample students.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language was suitable for scholarly communication.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Robert Kati</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Kibabii University, Kenya</b>