

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_107527
Title of the Manuscript:	RADIOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT OF BACKGROUND IONIZING RADIATION EXPOSURE RATES FROM SOLID MINERAL MINING SITES IN IKWO LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA OF EBONYI STATE, NIGERIA.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>(1) Yes, the work assessed the level of Background Ionizing Radiation, its contribution to the radiation level around the mining sites of Ikwo LGA of Ebonyi State and the possible Radiation health implication and radiological status of the environment</p> <p>(2) The title is suitable</p> <p>(3) Yes, it summarised the aim, objectives, the materials, method used and the results obtained</p> <p>(4) Yes, but the Authors should check the numbering based on guidelines for Authors on Manuscript format for this Journal</p> <p>(5) Yes. The data evaluation is correct and the conclusion is supported by relevant data.</p> <p>(6) Yes the References are recent and sufficient</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, with few corrections</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>(1) The Manuscripts has contributed knowledge in the field of environmental health physics specifically in the study area</p> <p>(2) Though, the Authors needs to effect some minor corrections as indicated in the body of the manuscript</p> <p>(3) Authors are to consider accepting the corrections via track changes in the review pane</p> <p>(4) The axis of the graphs should be labelled</p> <p>(5) The standard deviation/error associated with the mean values should be indicated</p> <p>(6) The abstract should be single spaced and justified</p> <p>(7) Numbering of equations should be checked</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aisha Ademoh Bello
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Lafia, Nigeria