

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_107366
Title of the Manuscript:	Profile Characteristics and Attitude of extension personnel towards the Agro Service Centres (ASCs) in Kerala
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Agro-service centres acts as a catalyst between traditional and the advanced technological developments in the field of agriculture and to increase agricultural productivity of farmers. Thus, the article is apt and useful for scientific community but the study was carried out during 2018-19.</p> <p>2. The title can be modified and made fascinating by presenting it more precisely, like 'Attitude of Extension Personnel towards Agro Delivery Systems – A Study' or 'Appraisal of authorities attitudes in Agro Delivery systems'.</p> <p>3. The present abstract contains 163 words. Results pertaining to nine independent variables as well as suggestions for future study can also be incorporated. Care has to be exercised while documenting key words, because they become index words.</p> <p>4. The structure of the manuscript is wonderful but a few lines on review of literature would have added colour to the presentation.</p> <p>5. Page set up has to be made and the findings could have been cited categorically to make this manuscript look scientifically appropriate.</p> <p>7. 12 references were cited. No recent citations have been documented. Citations are to be indicated by the reference number in brackets [].</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Whenever, the phrase 'agricultural facilitators and service providers' is used let it be in Capital letters, such as 'Agricultural Facilitators' and 'Service Providers'. There is every scope for refinement of language.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. Please go through the general guidelines for authors of the journal <i>Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology</i> carefully, your presentation should be in double-spaced.</p> <p>2. Under 3.4 - Relationship of attitude of extension personnel towards the service delivery system of ASCS with their profile characteristics only 7 variables were discussed.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	N. Samuel Babu
Department, University & Country	Holy Mary Institute of Technology& Science, India