

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JOCAMR_107319</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>The Effects of Online Laughter Therapy on Depression, Anxiety, Stress, and Loneliness in Corporate Employees Who Worked from Home During the COVID-19 Pandemic</b>
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>The concept of the manuscript is very much emerging.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The orientation of the manuscript is poor.</li> <li>2. Introduction is not so rationale with the study. Please reframe it with much more scientific evidences.</li> <li>3. The research question, why the researcher is interested for this study, is not properly visible in the introduction part. Please reframe it.</li> <li>4. Lack of strong controlled methodological backup has been found in the manuscript.</li> <li>5. Deficiency of scientific explanations under each heading is found in the manuscript and technically more detailed information should be given under each outcomes.</li> <li>6. Outcome of this study is not generalized properly</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Anup De</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Chandrapur College, The University of Burdwan, India</b>