

“Effect of date of sowing and cultivars on germination, emergence and rosette termination of safflower”

Abstract

The present experiment was carried out at All India Coordinated Research Project on safflower, College of Agriculture, Indore, (M.P.) during *rabi* season 2015-16 to study the effect of date of sowing and cultivars on germination, emergence and rosette termination of safflower. The experiment was performed in split plot design using three sowing dates and three cultivars. The choice of location specific variety has advantages over other varieties since it well adopted and responded better to local environment/growing conditions and applied inputs leading to significant higher production. The safflower cultivar NARI-6 had maximum germination percentage (91.43%) and number of days for rosette termination (21.50 days) while the earliest emergence is noted under A-1 (10.92 days). In case of sowing dates, 1 November sowing took minimum days to emerge out (10.92 days), 15 November proved beneficial with respect to maximum germination percentage (88.60%) while 30 November took maximum number of days for rosette termination (22.08 days).

Keywords: Safflower, rosette termination, germination percentage, cultivar, dates of sowing

1. INTRODUCTION

Safflower [*Carthamus tinctorius* (L.) Moench] is one of the important rainfed and drought tolerant *rabi* oilseed crop. Generally, it is known as *kusum* or *kardi*. Safflower is a member of family *compositae*, cultivated mainly for its seeds, which yield edible oil. It is a highly branched, thistle-like annual or winter annual herb, usually with many long sharp spines on the leaves and stems. Plants are 30-150 cm tall with globular flower heads (*capitula*) and commonly brilliant yellow, orange or red flowers. The plant has a strong taproot which enables it to thrive in dry climates.

In India, safflower is grown only as rainfed, winter crop on residual soil moisture. Also generally it is intercropped with cereals such as wheat and sorghum. The safflower production in the country during 2013-2014 is 178 thousand ha with total production of 114 thousand tones with 640 kg/ha. In Madhya Pradesh safflower crop is grown mainly in *rabi* season and covers an area of 0.18 thousand ha with total production of 0.13 thousand tones [1]. Its cultivation is becoming popular in Madhya Pradesh due to its high yield potential particularly under limited moisture conditions [2]. The average production of safflower in India and Madhya Pradesh is too low. Among various factors which contributes to increase the safflower yield in per unit area, the most economical and possible set of practices a farmer can adopt, is the use of most suitable variety, planted at proper time, together with other cultural practices.

In the recent past, extensive research on climate change predicts marked increase in temperature. India's average temperature has inched up by around 0.7°C during 1901–2018 [3] and considered as one of the important factors responsible for low yield in wheat. The low production of safflower in Madhya Pradesh is due to shorter favourable growing period, high temperature with low humidity and short cool spell during growing season with more fluctuation in temperature. The optimum sowing date depends on rainfall and temperature to maintain high grain yields. Under timely sown condition, safflower crop revived prolonged favourable growth environment and resulted in higher accumulation of carbon photosynthates which ultimately enhanced higher values of yield attributes including grain yield [4]. Therefore, the optimization of sowing time is an important parameter to attain maximum yield and efficient conversion of biological yield into economic yield.

Selection of location specific variety is one of the most essential agronomic activities [5]. Variety change has played a key role in improving productivity, according to Chen et al. [6] with the contribution of variety to yield rising from 21.0% to 44.3% during the last 50 years. Currently, many varieties have been evolved and each needs specific management practices and climatic requirements on which it reaches its full genetic potential [5]. Therefore, a comparison of varieties for growth and yield characteristics under various sowing regimes is necessary [7].

By considering the above facts, the experiment was carried out with the objective to test different safflower varieties under different sowing time for achieving better germination, emergence and rosette termination.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Chemical analysis

The pH of soil was determined by using glass electrode pH meter using 1:2 soil water suspensions at 25°C. The supernatant liquid of the soil suspension formerly used for pH determination was also used for the determination of electrical conductivity by conductivity meter in 1:2 soil water suspensions at 25°C and expressed as dSm⁻¹. Organic carbon was estimated by wet digestion method as explained by Walkley-Black [8] method. In this method organic matter in the soil is oxidized with a mixture of potassium dichromate (K₂Cr₂O₇) and concentrated H₂SO₄ utilizing the heat of dilution of H₂SO₄. Unused K₂Cr₂O₇ is back titrated with ferrous ammonium sulphate. The available nitrogen in soil was determined by alkaline permanganate method as explained by Subbian and Asija in 1956 [9]. The estimation of available P₂O₅ was done by using Olsen's extract (0.5 m sodium bicarbonate solution of pH 8.5) as described by Olsen *et al.* [10]. The available amount of potassium was determined by using N neutral ammonium acetate as mentioned by Jackson [11].

Table 1 Chemical analysis of the experimental soil

Analysis	Values
Soil pH	7.55
Electrical conductivity (dsm ⁻¹)	0.43 (dsm ⁻¹)
Organic Carbon (%)	0.39 %
Available Nitrogen (kg ha ⁻¹)	232 kg ha ⁻¹
Available phosphorus (kg ha ⁻¹)	12.2 kg ha ⁻¹
Available potash (kg ha ⁻¹)	496 kg ha ⁻¹

Table.2 Different soil properties and methods employed for analysis

Sr. No.	Soil property	Method followed
1.	Soil pH (1:2.5)	pH meter
2.	EC (1:2.5) (dS/m) at 25 °C	EC meter [11]

- | | | |
|----|--|---------------------------------------|
| 3. | Organic carbon (%) | Walkley and Black's method [8] |
| 4. | Available N (kg ha ⁻¹) | Alkaline KMnO ₄ method [9] |
| 5. | Available P ₂ O ₅ (kg ha ⁻¹) | Olsen's method [10] |
| 6. | Available K ₂ O (kg ha ⁻¹) | Flame photometric method [11] |

Mechanical analysis

- | | | |
|----|---------------|-------------------------------------|
| 7. | Sand (9.56%) | Bouyoucos hydrometer
method [12] |
| 8. | Silt (34.32%) | |
| 9. | Clay (56.12%) | |
-

2.2 Method of fertilizer application and sowing

In experimental plot furrows were opened with the help of small *kudali* at a distance of 45 cm from each other. The calculated quantities of fertilizer as per treatment were applied plot wise. The amount of nutrient was given through complex fertilizer (IFFCO grade 12:32:16) was used for supplying nitrogen, phosphorous and potash. The remaining amount of nitrogen was applied through urea. The recommended dose of fertilizer (60 N + 40 P₂O₅ + 20 K₂O kg ha⁻¹) was applied in safflower. Full dose of P₂O₅, K₂O and half dose of N were applied at the time of sowing in the furrow below the seed. Remaining half dose of N was applied at stage of crop at 45 DAS. The required quantity of seed was placed in furrows manually and covered with soil immediately. In order to get good tilth of soil for sowing, the experiment field was cultivated once with the tractor drawn cultivator and one harrowing by bullock drawn harrow followed by planking to level the field. For ensuring good germination, healthy and good quality seeds were used with 20 kg ha⁻¹. The seed of safflower was treated before sowing with Thiram @ 2.5 g kg⁻¹ seed. In experimental plot furrows were opened with the help of small *kudali* at a distance of 45 cm from each other. The calculated quantities of fertilizer as per treatment were applied plot wise. The amount of nutrient was given through complex fertilizer (IFFCO grade 12:32:16) was used for supplying nitrogen, phosphorous and potash. The remaining amount of nitrogen was applied through urea. The recommended dose of fertilizer (60 N + 40 P₂O₅ + 20 K₂O kg ha⁻¹) was applied in safflower. Full dose of P₂O₅, K₂O and half dose of N were applied at the time of sowing in the furrow below the seed. Remaining half dose of N was applied at stage of crop at 45 DAS.

Annigeri (A-1): The average seed yield of this variety is 1600-1700 kg ha⁻¹. Oil content of this variety is 24-29 % and oil yield is 600-725 kg ha⁻¹. This variety matures in 120-140 days. It has moderately tolerant to aphid. This variety is mainly grown in regions of Karnataka, Bihar, Orissa and Rajasthan.

NARI-6: The average yield of this variety is 1000-1100 kg ha⁻¹. Oil content of this variety is 26-32 % and oil yield is 550-650 kg ha⁻¹. This variety matures in 135-145 days. It has tolerant to foliar and wilt disease such as Alternaria and cercospora. NARI-6 is non spiny variety grown in regions of all over India. Flowers are dark red and flowers yield 70-80 kg ha⁻¹.

NARI-57: The average yield of this variety is 1300-1400 kg ha⁻¹. Oil content of this variety is 28-35 % and oil yield is 530-650 kg ha⁻¹. This variety matures in 130-140 days. NARI-57 is spiny variety grown in regions of all over India.

3. Result and discussion

3.1 Plant population (m⁻²)

The plant population is a most important factor, which directly influence the yield of the crop. Initial plant population was recorded at 20 day after germination (DAG) and final plant population was recorded one day before harvest by counting the actual number of plants per square meter. It is obvious from the data presented in Table 3., that sowing dates did not show any significant difference. However higher plant population of per metre² was received by 15th November sowing followed by 1st November sowing at 20 DAG and at harvest. Minimum plant population was obtained with 30th November sowing.

The data indicated in Table 3., that safflower plant population was not affected significantly by safflower cultivars at 20 DAG and at harvest. However higher plant population of metre⁻² was received by safflower cultivar NARI-6 followed by A-1 at 20 DAG and at harvest. Minimum plant population was obtained with NARI-57 cultivar. Interaction of sowing dates and cultivars of safflower did not show any significant difference in plant population.

Table 3. Impact of sowing dates and cultivars on plant population (plants m⁻²) at 20 days after germination and at harvest

Treatments		Plant population (plants m ⁻²)	
		20 DAG	At harvest
Sowing dates	1 November	11.56	10.96
	15 November	12.01	10.97
	30 November	11.02	10.32
	SEm ±	0.32	0.22
	CD at 5 %	NS	NS
Cultivars	A-1	11.48	10.57
	NARI-6	11.72	11.19
	NARI-57	11.39	10.48
	SEm ±	0.20	0.22
	CD at 5 %	NS	NS

3.2. Number of days for emergence

The data presented in Table 4, that difference in number of days for germination of safflower was found significant by sowing dates. 1st November sowing was the earliest in germination followed by 30th November. The maximum number of days for germination was received by 15th November sowing. Sowing date clearly influences the soil temperature and soil water availability experienced by germinating seeds and as a consequence, manipulating the sowing dates could be an efficient practice to help crops better escape abiotic or biotic stresses. However, the specific response to sowing date depends on the location and farming system [13]. Similar result also noted by Ballesta et al. [14].

The data presented in Table 4, that number of days for emergence of safflower was affected significantly by cultivars. Cultivar A-1 was the earlier in germination followed by NARI-6. The maximum number of days for germination was received by safflower cultivar NARI-57.

The data presented in Table 4, indicated that the effect of sowing dates and safflower cultivars combinations was found the significant on this parameter. However, the Cultivar A-1 was the earliest in number of days for germination (10.25 days) on 1st November sowing as compared to other treatment combinations.

Table 4 Interaction impact of sowing dates and cultivars on number of days for emergence

Sowing dates (D)	Cultivars (V)		
	A-1	NARI-6	NARI-57
1 November	10.25	11.50	11.00
15 November	11.50	11.75	12.50
30 November	11.00	11.25	12.75
	(D×V)1		(D×V)2
SEm ±	0.29		0.40
CD at 5 %	1.01		0.87

3.3 Germination percentage

The data presented in Table 4, indicated that different sowing dates registered significant influence on germination %. The highest germination % was received by 15th November sowing followed by 1st November sowing and lowest germination % was obtained with 30th November sowing.

The data presented in Table 4 indicated that different safflower cultivars was registered significant variation on germination %. The highest germination % was received by cultivar NARI-6 followed by A-1 and lowest germination % was obtained with NARI-57 cultivar. The variation in these growth parameters of the cultivars might be related to inherent differences and high vigour in these cultivars. The results are in close conformity with the findings of Singh *et al.* [15].

The data presented in Table 5 indicated that sowing dates and safflower cultivars combinations were found significant in germination %. However, the Cultivar NARI-6 recorded the maximum germination percentage (92.28%) on 15th November sowing as compared to other treatment combinations.

Higher germination with 15 November sowing might be due to favourable climatic condition. Similar result has been reported by Yusuf *et al.* [16] and Bhayal *et al.* [17].

3.4 Rosette termination

The data presented in Table 4, indicated that different sowing dates registered significant influence on number of days for rosette termination. The minimum number of days was received by 1st November sowing followed by 15th November sowing and maximum number of days was received by 30th November sowing.

The data indicated in Table 5, those different safflower cultivars was registered significant variation on number of days for rosette termination. The minimum number of days was received by A-1 cultivar followed by NARI-57 and maximum number of days was received by NARI-6 cultivar. The differences in plant growth attributes between cultivars might be due to the genetical modified and/or by environmental factors resulting in variations in leaf development [5]. The results are in close conformity with the findings of Mohankumar and Chimmad [18]. Interaction of sowing dates and cultivars of safflower did not show any significant difference on number of days for rosette termination.

Table 5 Impact of sowing dates and cultivars on number of days for emergence, germination percentage and rosette termination

	Treatments	Number of days for emergence	Germination percentage	Number of days for rosette termination
Sowing dates	1 November	10.92	86.75	17.50
	15 November	11.92	88.60	20.17
	30 November	11.67	85.73	22.08
	SEm ±	0.15	0.31	0.25
	CD at 5 %	0.54	1.09	0.90
Cultivars	A-1	10.92	86.27	18.75
	NARI-6	11.50	91.43	21.50
	NARI-57	12.08	83.38	19.50
	SEm ±	0.16	0.29	0.17
	CD at 5 %	0.58	0.86	0.52

Table 6 Interaction effect of sowing dates and cultivars on Germination percentage

Sowing dates (D)	Cultivars (V)
------------------	---------------

	A-1	NARI-6	NARI-57
1 November	85.90	90.85	83.50
15 November	88.80	92.28	84.73
30 November	84.10	91.18	81.90
	(D×V)1		(D×V)2
SEm ±	0.50		0.52
CD at 5 %	1.49		1.62

Conclusion

The choice of location specific variety has advantages over other varieties since it well adopted and responded better to local environment/growing conditions and applied inputs leading to significant higher production. The safflower cultivar NARI-6 had maximum germination percentage and number of days for rosette termination while the earliest emergence is noted under A-1 (10.92 days). In case of sowing dates, 1 November sowing took minimum days to emerge out, 15 November proved beneficial with respect to maximum germination percentage while 30 November took maximum number of days for rosette termination.

Reference

1. Anonymous, Area and Production in India and Madhya Pradesh. Ministry of Agriculture and Statistics. 2014.
2. Singh P, Yadav HS and Singh R. Comparative performance of Rabi crops under dry farming condition. *Crop Sci.* 1984;144-149.
3. Srivastava AK, Revadekar JV, Rajeevan M. Regional climates: Asia: South Asia (in "State of the climate in 2018"). Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society. 2019;100(9): S236–S240.
4. Singh B, Kumar M, Dhaka AK. Relationship of temperature based meteorological indices with phenology and yield performance of wheat as influenced by swing times. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences.* 2018;7(3):230-24.
5. Aakash, Thakur NS, Singh MK, Bhayal L, Meena K, Choudhary SK, Kumawat N, Singh RK, Singh UP, Singh SK. et al. Sustainability in Rainfed Maize (*Zea mays* L.)

Production Using Choice of Corn Variety and Nitrogen Scheduling. Sustainability. **2022**;14:3116. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su14053116>

6. Chen G, Liu H, Zhang J, Liu P, Dong S. Factors affecting summer maize yield under climate change in Shandong Province in the Huanghuaihai Region of China. Int. J. Biometeorol. **2012**;56:621–629.

7. Dangi K, Singh S, Malviya D, Gautam A, Kanapuriya N, Kumar B. Effect of Rice Varieties on Growth, Yield and Economics at Varying Levels of Nitrogen under Direct Seeded Upland Condition Rewa Region. Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. Appl. Sci. **2017**;6:2313–2318.

8. Walkley A and Black IA. An examination of Degtjareff method for determining soil organic matter and a proposed modification of the chromic acid titration method. Soil Sci. 1934;**37**:29-37.

9. Subbiah BV, Asija GL. A rapid method for estimation of nitrogen in soil. *Curr. Sci.* **1956**;25:259–260.

10. Olsen SR, Cole CV, Watandbe F, Dean L. Estimation of Available Phosphorus in Soil by Extraction with sodium Bicarbonate. J. Chem. Inf. Model. **1954**;53:1689–1699.

11. Jackson ML. Soil Chemical Analysis, Prentice Hall of India Private Limited, New Delhi.1973. pp.187.

12. Piper CS, *Soil and Plant Analysis*; Interscience Publishers, Inc.: New York, NY, USA, 1950.

13. Nelson MN, Nathalie N, Jose M, Barrero AL, Fletcher, Greaves IK, Trijntje H, Anne L, Rod S, Greg JR, John AK, Strategies to improve field establishment of canola: A review. Advances in Agronomy. 2022;175:133-177
<https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.agron.2022.05.001>

14. Ballesta MA, Santiveri FA, Lloveras J. Sowing date affects maize development and yield in irrigated Mediterranean environments. *Agriculture*. 2019; 9(3):67.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture9030067>

15. Singh V, Nimbkar N, Rajvanshi AK. Safflower research and development at Nimbkar Agricultural Research Institute (NARI). Proceedings of the Vth International Safflower Conference, Williston, North Dakota and Sidney, Montana, USA. 2001;117-121.
16. Yusuf M, Kumar S, Dhaka AK, Singh B, Bhuker A. Effect of Sowing Dates and Varieties on Yield and Quality Performance of Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Agricultural Science Digest. 2019; 39(4):306-310.
17. Bhayal L, Kewat ML, Bhayal D, Aakash, Jha AK, Badkul AJ. Influence of Different Sowing Dates and Nutrient Management on Yield Attributes and Yield of Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). International Journal of Plant & Soil Science, 2022;34(22):362–367. <https://doi.org/10.9734/ijpss/2022/v34i2231385>
18. Mohankumar S, Chimmad VP. Characterization of safflower genotypes for morpho - physiological, yield and its components. Karnataka J. Agric. Sci. 2005;18(2):312-315.