

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_107357
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Different Fertility Levels and Liquid Biofertilizers on Biological Properties and Nutrient Content of Soil under Wheat (<i>Triticum aestivum</i> L.) Crop
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No (The results revealed significant increase in soil microbial biomass carbon and nitrogen, microbial population (bacteria, fungi and actinomycetes) as well as the dehydrogenase and alkaline phosphatase enzyme activity in post-harvest soil of wheat with increasing levels of fertility and liquid biofertilizers up to the 100% RDF and <i>Azotobacter</i> + PSB respectively.) Rewrite the bracketed sentence properly.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>I hope so</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No.1. Objective is missing in the manuscript. No.2. Please re-check your statistical analysis part and presentation. No.3. Sulphur and sulfur (Write anyone in the manuscript) No.4. Conclusion can be written in a better way. No.5. Please check your references (Should be according to the journal format)</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Certain grammatical mistakes are there which can be corrected.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The english language can be improved a bit more.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chadetriik Rout
Department, University & Country	Maharishi Markandeshwar Deemed to be University, India