

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_107046
Title of the Manuscript:	Exploring the Use of Aromatic Compounds in Crop Growth and Protection
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Observed that the article presents solid Aramaic concepts, mentions a characterization with a formula, and describes the role of aromatics in agriculture. It has clear objectives. The manuscript is significant for the scientific community.</p> <p>The title of the article is appropriate.</p> <p>The article's abstract is comprehensive.</p> <p>The subsections and manuscript structure are suitable. I believe the manuscript is scientifically accurate.</p> <p>I find the references to be sufficient and up-to-date. However, as this is a review, it is necessary to provide sources for specific information, such as in informational tables.</p> <p>A careful read is needed to identify important points that require source attribution. In scientific reports, it is crucial to mention the conducted research, in other words, the sources of the information presented.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>I think the language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications, even though I speak another language.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Erisnaldo Francisco Reis
Department, University & Country	Unifaveni, Brazil