

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_106111
Title of the Manuscript:	Identify Potential sourcing areas of selected organic fruits and vegetables (mango, onion, pomegranate) in Maharashtra and Andhra Pradesh.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (If agreed with the Reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here.)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? (Please write a few sentences on this manuscript.)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not, please suggest an alternative title.)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions for additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from the 6 points mentioned above, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments.)</u></p>	<p>Yes, manuscripts are significant to the scientific community.</p> <p>The title of the article is good (18 words)</p> <p>Abstract is good (188 words), maximum abstract of 250 words, it is suggested to add the implications of the research results. it is recommended to add keywords, in writing articles there must be a maximum of five words keywords.</p> <p>The article's structure is reasonable. Introduction is good. Methodology is recommended to add 1-2 references. Suggested previously added subtitles: Results and Discussion. The result is good, but the discussion is still lacking and it is recommended to add 2-3 references for each sub-discussion. It is recommended to add a conclusion.</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>References are good, but methodology is recommended to add 1-2 references and recommended discussion 2-3 references for each sub-discussion. Sufficient and up-to-date references and recommended that reference citations use Mendeley IEEE or APA</p> <p>It is suggested to add references as an example.</p> <p>Mandavgane, S. A., Chakravarty, I., & Jaiswal, A. K. (Eds.). (2023). <i>Fruit and Vegetable Waste Utilization and Sustainability</i>. Elsevier.</p> <p>Jain, K., Marwal, A., Sharma, K., & Desai, N. (2023). Identification of Etiological Agent of Telya Disease of Pomegranate, its Pathogenesis and Control using Integrated Management Approach. <i>Research Journal of Biotechnology Vol, 18, 1.</i></p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The quality of the article's English is suitable for scientific communication, but it is recommended to use grammarly.com</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The paper is Accepted but needs minor Revision.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Endang Noerhartati
Department, University & Country	Universitas Wijaya Kusuma Surabaya, Indonesia