

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_106264
Title of the Manuscript:	PORTFOLIO OPTIMIZATION USING 0-1 KNAPSACK QUADRATIC PROGRAMMING MODEL: A CASE STUDY.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>In this paper, the author/authors studied the <b>PORTFOLIO OPTIMIZATION USING 0-1 KNAPSACK QUADRATIC PROGRAMMING MODEL: A CASE STUDY.</b>"</p> <p>. The results are interesting.</p> <p>Quality of presentation of the paper is good.</p> <p>I would like to recommend the paper for publication after some minor revision.</p> <p>1. <b>Yes, the manuscript is important for scientific community.</b></p> <p>2. <b>Yes, the title of the article is suitable.</b></p> <p>3. <b>Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive.</b></p> <p>4. Yes, <b>subsections and structure of the manuscript is appropriate.</b></p> <p>5. <b>Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct.</b></p> <p>6. <b>Yes, the references are sufficient and recent.</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes, the article is suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Typographical errors and repeated word shall be removed.</p> <p>Proper space should be maintained between two words.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Krishna Gopal Singha
Department, University & Country	Pragya Academy Junior College, India