

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Language, Literature and Culture Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJL2C_107282
Title of the Manuscript:	“A Study on the Status of Urdu Language and Students' Perception of Urdu as a Subject in Kishanganj District of Bihar”
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No</p> <p>Almost</p> <p>No comment</p> <p>No</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>It is good to see the issues around Status of Urdu Language being addressed and your ideas are welcomed, as is your research.</p> <p>However, I feel that your work needs major revision before it can be published, and further clarification.</p> <p>This study has the potential to be an interesting piece of research. However, the point “4 Methodology” adopted for the purposes of your research, and for your specific context in India, needs to be clearly defined and justified with reference to the research literature.</p> <p>The context also needs careful consideration - does your research relate to Urdu or the mother tongue/s of the children? Are there differences in how Urdu status compared to in Indian languages. What are the ages and sexes of the children? What are the sexes of the teachers? How many years of teaching experience do they have? How many have formal/informal training in Urdu language?</p> <p>What are the methods of standardisation of the tools? The questionnaire is on 5 point likert scale then how it was analysed with descriptive statistical methods – all these needs to be mentioned in details.</p> <p>There are not any in-text references, so it is advised to follow any one format (like APA) of referencing throughout the article.</p> <p>The “findings” and the “conclusion” of the study are not in relation with each other in the light of data and the analysis. Be very specific with your data collected and the analysis done in terms of writing the findings and drawing the conclusions out of those findings.</p> <p>Every claim and statement you make must be supported with reference to up to date and relevant research and literature. The methodology section needs to be fully revised, justified and explained</p>	

Review Form 1.7

	and you have not considered the ethical implications of your study at all. Careful re-designing of your questionnaire to include the points raised above will enable you to gather a rich resource of data and draw valuable conclusions and consider the implications for practice arising from your study.	
--	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Subhash Chandra Basu
Department, University & Country	H. P. University, India