

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_107036
Title of the Manuscript:	Inventory and Spatial Distribution of Trees In Eleme Local Government Area, Port Harcourt
Type of the Article	Original Research work

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The manuscript forms a baseline data for subsequent study in that part of Nigeria and adds to the current state of knowledge on urban greening in Nigeria</p> <p>The title of the article is quite apt in its present form based on the research study</p> <p>The abstract was comprehensive but did not adequately captured the area of future research while the key words were not properly structured</p> <p>The sub-sections were quite appropriate and reflect the various components of the study</p> <p>Yes the manuscript is partially correct except for the mix-up in the individual species encountered and frequency of occurrence. That will require reconciliation</p> <p>The reference were sufficient and moderately recent even though there is room for improvement on the part of the author</p> <p>There were number technical issues with the paper especially in the use of tenses. Most of the observations and corrections had been indicated appropriately in the article</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Moderately suitable though it could be better after revision of the article</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The article was original and partially novel in contents. An aspect of the woody tree species utilization reported was based on the author's perception rather than an origin work as there was question questionnaire administration.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Peter I. Oni
Department, University & Country	Federal University, Nigeria