

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_106434
Title of the Manuscript:	INTRAORAL SCANNERS- NEW ERA IN IMPRESSION MAKING: A Review Article
Type of the Article	Review Article

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>It is an interesting manuscript about Oral scanning for Orthodontics, diagnosis, and Health care. It is a high impact technology Review that merits to be published. However, please consider the following comments and suggestions:</p> <p>i) in the Abstract it seems that it is not afforded the technology reviewed; there is more presentation focused on the needs but in absence of with what?...what is the technology under study or reviewed? Please clarify that with some phrases.</p> <p>ii) The introduction is short and it could be more developed. The redaction could be improved as well creating a redaction where an idea is connected with the other one. There are many full stop after simple sentences. The sentences are interesting, but it could be added more description or discussion related with the same knowledge or exploiting the idea. It is just an observation to take into account.</p> <p>iii) Please check in table 1 the captions or legends related to the source of information from where the data showed was taken.</p> <p>Iv) About the main body of the text developed, it could be added more information adding further redaction. But, as stated the text is very easily red and the reader can get fast an idea of the theme; however it could be added more information. It is suggestion for the author in order to add more knowledge related.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Guillermo Bracamonte
Department, University & Country	National University of Cordoba, Argentine