

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Cardiology Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCR_106730
Title of the Manuscript:	Clinical Profile of Ventricular Septal Defect in Children in a Tertiary Care Hospital in Bangladesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Important for the scientific community. At least it may fulfil a knowledge gap regarding from the clinicopathological aspect.</p> <p>Suitable</p> <p>Should also include percentages of each group of patients with different enlarge heart size.</p> <p>Acceptable</p> <p>Acceptable</p> <p>Acceptable</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Acceptable	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	A working definition for enlarged heart size should be made and graded to mild, moderate, and severe something like that. Enlarge heart should be more elaborated since it is importantly included in the title. By grouping the large heart into subgroups like this a better discussion can be made on enlarge heart.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ne Win</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Medicine, Myanmar</b>