

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Cardiology Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCR_106730
Title of the Manuscript:	Clinical Profile of Ventricular Septal Defect in Children in a Tertiary Care Hospital in Bangladesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The manuscript deals with the most prevalent congenital heart abnormality termed Ventricular septal defect (VSD) which on a later stage can lead to a range of distressing symptoms in infants. The study deals with analysis of clinical profile and size and type of VSD in Paediatric patients admitted in a tertiary care hospital in Dhaka, Bangladesh. The manuscript is important for scientific community.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is appropriate to the subject under study.</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive.</p> <p>4. Even though the titles of subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate, they can be properly numbered.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. The references are sufficient and recent.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Durgaprasad Kamat
Department, University & Country	Sinhgad Academy of Engineering, SPPU, India