

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_107623
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing Women Farmers' Perceptions of Farmer Producer Organizations: A Special Reference to Namakkal District, Tamil Nadu
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>YES</p> <p>YES</p> <p>YES (but would need to be adjusted after revision).</p> <p>NO</p> <p>NO</p> <p>NO</p> <p><u>Literature Review</u></p> <p>1. The paper lacks a comprehensive literature review concerning Farmers Producers Organisations, particularly in relation to women farmers. A thorough literature review is essential for developing a conceptual framework to guide and justify the components identified in a Factor Analysis. Presently, the paper transitions abruptly from a brief introduction to the methodology, placing greater emphasis on data analysis while neglecting crucial aspects.</p> <p><u>Methodology</u></p> <p>2. What sort of survey was carried out?</p> <p>Although the paper mentioned that purposive sampling was used, there is no indication of the means of data collection.</p> <p>3. What questions were asked?</p> <p>Did the authors use secondary data for the study? Did they develop a survey tool? Including the data collection instrument or providing a link to the dataset would be beneficial in this regard.</p> <p>4. To identify factors, it's essential to first grasp the concept, as this will inform the development of relevant questions. The author(s) mentioned that Factor analysis identified eight components among 22 variables. Could you please provide more information about those variables and how they were identified? This aspect will become clearer when referring back to the suggestions made about literature review in previous point (pt 1).</p> <p>5. What is the relationship between a Component and the Factors?</p> <p>Factor analysis assumes that among the observed 22 variables (components), there exist underlying variables (factors) that are smaller in number but can explain the interrelationships among these variables. For instance, why are Capacity Building and Regular training and education considered factors of Social Capital rather than factors of the Business Ecosystem? Is there a relationship between these factors and socio-economic variables? The author(s) could enhance clarity by addressing these relationships.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Minor REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	YES	
Optional/General comments	I CALL IT MAJOR REVISION BECAUSE IT MAY REQUIRE A SUBSTANTIAL REVIEW OF LITERATURE AND MORE DATA ANALYSIS.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mofoluke Akiode
Department, University & Country	Nigeria