

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_106402
Title of the Manuscript:	Formulation, sensory evaluation and nutrient assessment of extruded composite flour mixtures developed using selected local grains varieties
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. There are so many edible grains are available among this rice is considered as primary table food. To evaluate the grain quality of rice is most important and which is help to scientific community for further breeding programmes to improve the quality traits.</p> <p>2. Title of the article is suitable</p> <p>3. Yes, it is Comprehensive and no need of giving the SD values in the abstract.</p> <p>4. Yes, subsections are appropriate in this manuscript.</p> <p>5. From abstract to conclusion the manuscript written is very well and scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. Additional references could enrich the article</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Linguistic refinement is recommended	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	Statistical analysis is appropriate.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	E. Arasakumar
Department, University & Country	Forest College and Research Institute, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, India