

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Research and Reports in Hematology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJR2H_107236
Title of the Manuscript:	MULTICENTRIC CASTLEMAN DISEASE; A RARE CASE OF GENERALISED LYMPHADENOPATHY IN INDIA
Type of the Article	Case study

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No, Abstract has to be the summary of the whole case report including the brief description of case also. Presently, it is mostly the generalized introduction of the topic</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Few references need to be added, as indicated in reviewed manuscript.</p> <p>Norm for using abbreviations need to be maintained. Using first time with both the forms, then only abbreviations.</p> <p>Uniform pattern of references to be maintained as per requirement of journal. Discussion must mention the differential diagnosis and how it was excluded, and diagnosis was reached.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>To large extent. Correction suggested</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The case is good. Manuscript needs to be revised in view of comments provided.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Naresh Kumar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Delhi, India</b>